

Thermal stratification and fish thermal preference explain vertical eDNA distributions in lakes

Joanne E. Littlefair¹  | Lee E. Hrenchuk² | Paul J. Blanchfield^{2,3,4} | Michael D. Rennie^{2,5} | Melania E. Cristescu¹

¹Department of Biology, McGill University, Montréal, QC, Canada

²IISD Experimental Lakes Area, Winnipeg, MB, Canada

³Freshwater Institute, Winnipeg, MB, Canada

⁴Department of Biology, Queen's University, Kingston, ON, Canada

⁵Department of Biology, Lakehead University, Thunder Bay, ON, Canada

Correspondence

Joanne E. Littlefair, School of Biological and Chemical Sciences, Queen Mary University of London, London, UK
Email: j.e.littlefair@qmul.ac.uk

Present address

Joanne E. Littlefair, School of Biological and Chemical Sciences, Queen Mary University of London, London, UK

Funding information

Quebec Centre for Biodiversity Science; Mitacs, Grant/Award Number: IT08003; WSP Montreal Environment Department; Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada, Grant/Award Number: 04331-2017, 2016-04016 and 523760-2018; Canada Research Chairs, Grant/Award Number: 230517 and 237170

Abstract

Significant advances have been made towards surveying animal and plant communities using DNA isolated from environmental samples. Despite rapid progress, we lack a comprehensive understanding of the “ecology” of environmental DNA (eDNA), particularly its temporal and spatial distribution and how this is shaped by abiotic and biotic processes. Here, we tested how seasonal variation in thermal stratification and animal habitat preferences influences the distribution of eDNA in lakes. We sampled eDNA depth profiles of five dimictic lakes during both summer stratification and autumn turnover, each containing warm- and cool-water fishes as well as the cold-water stenotherm, lake trout (*Salvelinus namaycush*). Habitat use by *S. namaycush* was validated by acoustic telemetry and was significantly related to eDNA distribution during stratification. Fish eDNA became “stratified” into layers during summer months, reflecting lake stratification and the thermal niches of the species. During summer months, *S. namaycush*, which rarely ventured into shallow waters, could only be detected at the deepest layers of the lakes, whereas the eDNA of warm-water fishes was much more abundant above the thermocline. By contrast, during autumn lake turnover, the fish species assemblage as detected by eDNA was homogenous throughout the water column. These findings contribute to our overall understanding of the “ecology” of eDNA within lake ecosystems, illustrating how the strong interaction between seasonal thermal structure in lakes and thermal niches of species on very localized spatial scales influences our ability to detect species.

KEYWORDS

biomonitoring, eDNA, species detection, stratification, thermal preference, water turnover

1 | INTRODUCTION

Environmental DNA (eDNA) is increasingly being used to conduct biodiversity surveys, species occupancy studies, and detect endangered and invasive species (Deiner et al., 2017; Taberlet, Coissac, Pompanon, Brochmann, & Willerslev, 2012). Molecular

and bioinformatics techniques have become increasingly refined in order to optimize the capture of eDNA (Alberdi, Aizpurua, Gilbert, & Bohmann, 2018; Deiner, Walser, Mächler, & Altermatt, 2015), but much of the “ecology” of eDNA—its release, transport, distribution, and degradation—is still poorly understood (Barnes & Turner, 2016; Cristescu & Hebert, 2018; Deiner et al., 2017). Recent studies

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2020 The Authors. *Molecular Ecology* published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd

suggest that the spatio-temporal distribution of eDNA in field settings is shaped by the seasonal dynamics of the system and behaviour of organisms (Bista et al., 2016; Handley et al., 2019), but these processes are generally understudied owing to the large spatial and/or temporal scales involved and the difficulty of obtaining high levels of biological replication at the habitat scale in order to make accurate inferences. Yet, this knowledge is essential for adequate survey design and correct interpretation of results as we move into the genomic era of assessing eukaryotic biodiversity (Bohmann et al., 2014).

The spatial distribution of molecular signals within a habitat is shaped by both abiotic and biotic factors influencing the processes of shedding, persistence, transport and degradation (Harrison, Sunday, & Rogers, 2019). Early eDNA studies examined the effects of single environmental factors on shedding and degradation in controlled environments such as aquaria or mesocosms, either with or without organisms present (Andruszkiewicz, Sassoubre, & Boehm, 2017; Klymus, Richter, Chapman, & Paukert, 2015; Lance et al., 2017; Mächler, Osathanunkul, & Altermatt, 2018). These studies were essential for determining the relative contributions to the distribution and persistence of eDNA particles. However, as eDNA matures into a tool that is being relied on for monitoring and environmental assessment, it is essential to understand the complex interplay between species' habitat selection and spatio-temporal variation in abiotic factors in shaping the distribution of eDNA within ecosystems.

Abiotic factors such as temperature, water chemistry and exposure to UV are thought to influence rates of eDNA shedding and/or degradation (Klymus et al., 2015; Lance et al., 2017; Sansom & Sassoubre, 2017; Sassoubre, Yamahara, Gardner, Block, & Boehm, 2016; Strickler, Fremier, & Goldberg, 2015). Abiotic factors also control eDNA transport at various scales in ecosystems and therefore the spatial scale of presence/absence inference. In aquatic ecosystems, speed and volume of lotic flow has received prominent attention in both experimental and field settings, with estimates of eDNA transport ranging from metres to kilometres (Deiner, Fronhofer, Mächler, & Altermatt, 2016; Jane et al., 2015). Similarly, studies in coastal marine waters demonstrate that although eDNA signals generally show decreasing community similarity at scales greater than 60–100 m, some signal transport still takes place, possibly as a result of particle transport by wave motion and water mixing (O'Donnell et al., 2017; Port et al., 2016).

By contrast, the influence of water movement on eDNA transport and species detection has largely been neglected for lacustrine systems. An important seasonal feature of many temperate lakes is stratification, where isolated layers of water are formed. During summer, the upper warm layer (epilimnion) is separated from a deep, cold layer of the lake (hypolimnion) by the formation of a thermocline (a temperature-dependent density gradient) between these layers. Brief periods of whole water column mixing occur prior to and after stratification in dimictic lakes during spring and autumn (Wetzel, 2001). These hydrological layers give rise to distinct temperature and oxygen conditions that create different habitat niches for aquatic organisms.

Thus, the seasonal cycle of lake stratification can concentrate organisms within, or isolate organisms from, certain habitats at different times of the year. Despite these widespread seasonal changes common to lakes across the world, there has been little investigation into how the water movements will impact the distribution of eDNA signal and therefore detection probability. However, a couple of studies which focus on single species or a single habitat have hinted at interesting differences in eDNA community composition at the top and bottom of the water column, possibly indicating a role for the thermocline in separating these molecular signals. For example, Klobucar, Rodgers, and Budy, (2017) found that surface sampling points had lower *Salvelinus alpinus* (arctic char) eDNA concentrations than the deeper sampling point during summer stratification in North Alaskan lakes, probably due to limited thermal habitat for the deep-water species. Moreover, Hänfling et al. (2016) only detected *S. alpinus* at the deepest sampling points in a metabarcoding study of English lakes. Studies in marine systems have also proposed a disconnect between eDNA concentrations in surface and deep sampling points, although the overall effect of stratification was less clear because of the release of extraneous eDNA into the bay due to waste from a local fish market (Yamamoto et al., 2016).

Abundance, life history, physiology and behaviour of organisms are implicated as biotic factors which shape the release of eDNA at varying scales. On a large geographic scale, the concentration of eDNA in water can reflect annual life history events such as migration or spawning, and can be used to track populations on the move or invasion fronts (Bylemans, Furlan, Gleeson, Hardy, & Duncan, 2018; Erickson et al., 2016; Spear, Groves, Williams, & Waits, 2015; Uchii, Doi, Yamanaka, & Minamoto, 2017). Several studies have used eDNA to monitor seasonal shifts in community assemblages in river estuaries (De Souza, Godwin, Renshaw, & Larson, 2016; Stoeckle, Soboleva, & Charlop-Powers, 2017), coastal ecosystems (Berry et al., 2019; Sigsgaard et al., 2017) and large lakes (Bista et al., 2016; Handley et al., 2019). However, there have been few studies that look at within-habitat eDNA distribution particularly with respect to habitat niche specialization or behavioural preferences (although see Macher & Leese, 2017; Nichols, Königsson, Danell, & Spong, 2012), and fewer still have examined how this might change seasonally. For some animals, habitat selection varies seasonally on relatively small spatial scales, but whether these changes are reflected by molecular signals remains largely unexplored.

Most freshwater organisms are ectothermic and optimize physiological performance by occupying habitats within specific thermal niches (Magnuson, Crowder, & Medvick, 1979). Thus, they have different thermal preferences according to their bioenergetic and foraging requirements. Many cold-water stenotherms, such as *Salvelinus namaycush* (lake trout), Coregonids and *Cottus* spp. (sculpins) avoid the warm temperatures of lake surface waters during summer stratification due to the associated metabolic costs and increased oxygen requirements of doing so (Beitinger & Fitzpatrick, 1979; Ficke, Myrick, & Hansen, 2007; Magnuson et al., 1979). For example, *S. namaycush* displays clear shifts away from littoral habitats when epilimnetic temperatures

rise above 15°C, suggesting that water temperature phenology is a strong determinant of seasonal habitat use (Guzzo, Blanchfield, & Rennie, 2017). In lakes where cold-water prey fish are absent, *S. namaycush* is known to make forays into the littoral zone in summer to access high-quality prey resources, although these trips are typically of short duration and constitute a small proportion of their total habitat use during warm summer days (Guzzo et al., 2017). Thus, habitat use by obligate cold-water species can be greatly reduced and constrained to deeper depths during summer stratification, especially in small temperate lakes where habitat volume reductions of >60% are common due to lack of preferred temperature and dissolved oxygen conditions (Paterson, Podemski, Wesson, & Dupuis, 2011; Plumb & Blanchfield, 2009). At the same time, opposite habitat restrictions would be occurring for warm-water fishes, resulting in the restriction of their distribution to the upper, warmer waters of lakes (McMeans et al., 2020).

Temperature-driven habitat segregation among species of freshwater fish has the potential to create depth-specific molecular signals during stratification. Temperate freshwater lakes often remain stratified for about half of the calendar year. Given that warm- and cold-water fishes spend most of their time at shallower and deeper depths, respectively, during stratification, it is likely that they release the bulk of their eDNA in these habitats. Despite this, eDNA studies often involve the collection of surface samples only, without considering the important seasonal forces which shape thermal stratification and the distinct thermal preferences of fish occupying these ecosystems. Surface samples are often easier and faster to collect, requiring less specialist equipment to reach the deeper depths (e.g. pumps, van Dorn bottles, or the use of a boat to sample at the centre of a lake).

However, there is emerging evidence that within-habitat eDNA can reflect local species richness and also peak in concentration during seasonal events (Bylemans et al., 2018; Erickson, Merkes, & Mize, 2019; Harper, Anucha, Turnbull, Bean, & Leaver, 2018; Spear et al., 2015).

In this study, we explored the impact of lake stratification and turnover on the distribution of eDNA in dimictic lakes and make specific predictions for warm- and cold-water fishes. We validated our results by simultaneously collecting detailed acoustic telemetry data to define fine-scale habitat preferences of an obligate cold-water stenothermic fish, *S. namaycush*. We hypothesized that (1) lake thermal stratification (i.e. summer) results in strong stratification of eDNA signals for species that are highly constrained (cold- and warm-water species) and less stratification for more generalist species (cool-water species) (Figure 1a) and (2) isothermal conditions (i.e. autumn turnover) result in homogenous eDNA signals for all thermal guilds of fishes throughout the water column (Figure 1b).

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Field collection

Sampling was conducted at the IISD Experimental Lakes Area (IISD-ELA), a remote research and monitoring facility in north-western Ontario, Canada. We sampled two lakes in summer and autumn of 2017 and repeated the summer and autumn sampling in five lakes in 2018. Study lakes vary in size from 25.8 to 56.1 ha and have a maximum depth of 13.2–30.4 m (Table S1). Monitoring of fish species at IISD-ELA has been conducted annually or bi-annually since




FIGURE 1 Conceptual figure showing hypothesized eDNA release in response to fish habitat selection and lake stratification/turnover. A lake during stratification (a) has isolated layers of water due to the formation of a temperature-dependent density gradient. There is minimal mixing between upper (epilimnion) and lower (hypolimnion) layers. Fishes select habitat due to bioenergetic requirements: this diagram shows potential habitat selection by warm-water, cool-water (able to inhabit all layers of the lake), and cold-water fishes. eDNA is released into stratified water layers and is slow to mix between the layers of the lake. Symbols represent the eDNA of warm-water fish (red squares), cool-water fish (open grey circles) and cold-water fish (filled dark blue circles). By contrast, during lake turnover (b) there is an isothermal water column with mixing between deep and shallow waters. Cold-water fishes are now able to inhabit the entire water column. eDNA of all species is thoroughly mixed throughout the water column. Panel (c) shows temperature changes with lake depth during lake stratification (red line) and lake turnover (blue line) for Lake 373 during the 2018 sampling season

the 1970s; therefore, the species composition of most lakes is well known. There are 14 species of fish across all the study lakes (mean 8, range 6–10 species per lake, Table S2). All lakes have overlapping community compositions, including *S. namaycush*, a cold-water top predator, in every lake. Sampling dates were chosen based on decades-long records of the timing of seasonal stratification and turnover (mixing) in these lakes. Moreover, temperature measurements of the water column were used to confirm lake stratification or turnover at the time of sampling (Table S3).

Water samples were taken at six depths, dispersed vertically throughout the water column at the deepest centre point of each lake (Table S3). The sampling points were distributed at six evenly spaced intervals, but because the lakes were different depths, absolute measurements differ between the lakes. We refer to the shallowest depth as sampling point one and the deepest depth as point six. Four 500 ml replicate water samples were taken per depth (for a total of 24 samples per lake per season) using an electrical pump and Jayflex PVC tubing (Winnipeg Johnston Plastics, MB, Canada) secured to a weight. In total, 336 samples were taken throughout the entire study (24 samples \times two lake states \times seven lake replicates). To prevent contamination between lakes, dedicated tubing was used for each lake. Moreover, to prevent contamination among depth samples within a lake, the tubing was cleaned by flushing one litre of 30% bleach, then one litre of distilled water, followed by a two-minute flush of depth-specific lake water through the apparatus. For each sampling point, 500 ml of lake water was sampled and stored in an unused sterile Whirl-Pak bag (Nasco, ON, Canada) sealed within a large Ziplock bag. All samples were immediately transported to the laboratory in a cooler with ice packs and stored at 4 °C until filtration. Water was filtered onto 47 mm 0.7 μ m pore GF/F filters using an electric vacuum pump and filtering manifold (Pall Corporation, ON, Canada). All filtrations were completed within eight hours of sample collection. One negative control of 500 ml distilled water was stored in the cooler and filtered in the same way as the field samples for each lake. The filters were immediately stored in screw-cap tubes at –20°C and then shipped on dry ice to McGill University, Montréal for molecular analysis.

2.2 | Fish habitat use

We used published data on fish temperature preference to describe the thermal habitat use of fish species from the study lakes (Hasnain, Escobar, & Shuter, 2018; Hasnain, Shuter, & Minns, 2013; Table S2). For *S. namaycush*, we collected acoustic telemetry data on depth occupancy to determine seasonal habitat use and compared it with depth profiles collected with eDNA data. Extensive telemetry studies conducted at IISD-ELA over the past two decades have shown that the seasonal vertical distribution of *S. namaycush* is strongly influenced by prevailing temperature and oxygen conditions caused by stratification (Guzzo et al., 2017). Acoustic transmitter implantation into *S. namaycush* and data collection have previously been described in detail (Blanchfield, Flavelle, Hodge, & Orihel, 2005). Briefly, *S. namaycush* were captured by angling and surgically implanted with

coded, acoustic, pressure-sensing telemetry tags (model V13P-1L; Vemco, Innovasea, Bedford, NS). Between five and ten tagged adults were monitored in each lake during the study period. The pressure sensor on each tag was calibrated in the lake; it was deployed in prior to implantation to ensure accurate depth readings (resolution: 0.08–0.15 m). The tags randomly emitted signals every 120–300 s (lakes 373, 626 and 239) or every 110–250 s (lakes 223 and 224). A number of data logging receivers (VR2W, 69 kHz; Vemco, Innovasea, Bedford, NS) were deployed under water at specific locations in the lake such that the “listening radius” of each receiver (spherical volume ~350 m diameter) overlapped slightly with the other receivers, resulting in maximum coverage of the lake. Each receiver was attached to a floating buoy and suspended ~2 m below the water's surface or ~2–4 m above the bottom of the lake (dependent on mooring apparatus design). The receivers logged acoustic signals emitted by the tags through an omnidirectional hydrophone. Data (fish ID, date, time, pressure sensor reading) were continuously collected except when receivers were removed from the lake and downloaded (~8-hr duration per lake, semi-annually). The pressure sensor data were converted to depth information using Vemco VUE software for each detection for the duration of the study (yielding ~200–700 depth detections for each fish in a typical 24-hr period). After downloading, duplicate detections (single tag signals detected by more than one receiver) were removed. In order to assess whether different time periods of cumulative eDNA persistence in the lakes affected the relationship between eDNA counts and telemetry data, we grouped telemetry data for each fish at different temporal scales, ranging from the day of eDNA sample collection, as well as one week, and one month prior to sample collection. The total number of detections of all fish was grouped into depth intervals reflecting the vertical distribution of the eDNA sampling (six intervals per lake). We adjusted for varying depth interval size and variation in the total amount of telemetry detections for each lake over the relevant time period.

2.3 | Molecular analysis

DNA was extracted from filters using the Qiagen Blood and Tissue kit. We followed the manufacturer's instructions with minor modifications: one filter was added per extraction with 370 μ l buffer ATL in the initial lysis incubation step. Filters were incubated for 16 hr and were vortexed four times throughout incubation. The DNA was eluted in two elutions of 60 μ l AE buffer and stored at –80°C. We included a DNA extraction control consisting of reagents without the filter for each lake. All samples were treated with the OneStep PCR Inhibitor Removal Kit (Zymo Research, Irvine, California). DNA was amplified in triplicate 12.5 μ l reactions using 12S MiFish-U primers selected to target fish assemblages (Miya et al., 2015) tagged with Illumina adapters. We selected the MiFish-U primers from a number of candidates by obtaining sequences from NCBI and using these to construct a phylogenetic tree with maximum likelihood using MEGA7. This primer pair was able to amplify and discriminate between the greatest number of fish species, prioritizing species known

to exist in our study area. We used the following PCR chemistry with the MiFish-U primers: 7.4 μ l nuclease free water (Qiagen), 1.25 μ l 10X buffer (Genscript), 1 mM MgCl₂ (Thermo Fisher Scientific), 0.2 mM GeneDirex dNTPs, 0.05 mg bovine serum albumen (Thermo Fisher Scientific), 0.25 mM each primer, 1U taq (Genscript) and 2 μ l DNA in a final volume of 12.5 μ l. PCR thermocycling followed a touchdown protocol with an annealing temperature from 66 to 64°C for 12 cycles followed by 28 cycles at 64°C, which we found improved the proportion of samples which amplified and prevented the co-amplification of nontarget bacterial taxa. Negative PCR controls were included on each plate by substituting nuclease free water (Qiagen) for DNA. All filtration, extraction and PCR negative controls were amplified in triplicate. PCR replicates from each sample were combined and cleaned with a 1:0.875 ratio of AMPure beads. Samples were dual-indexed with v2 Nextera DNA indexes (Illumina). The samples were cleaned again with AMPure beads, quantified and equimolarized to 3 ng/ μ l for sequencing.

A DNA mock community of 27 North American fish species was constructed to evaluate the efficiency of our molecular methods and bioinformatics steps. DNA was extracted from individual fish samples using the Qiagen Blood and Tissue kit following the manufacturer's instructions, equimolarized to 6.5 ng/ μ l and combined to create the mock community. Two replicate libraries were amplified, dual-indexed, cleaned, equimolarized to 3 ng/ μ l and sequenced alongside the eDNA samples.

In total, we sequenced 336 eDNA samples, 14 blank samples and two mock community samples, all as separately indexed libraries over five sequencing lanes. Sequencing was conducted using 2 \times 250 bp Illumina MiSeq at Génome Québec, Montréal.

2.4 | Contamination prevention

Steps to prevent contamination were taken at each phase of work. During fieldwork, we used a dedicated boat and separate tubing for each lake to prevent between-lake transfer of DNA. All field equipment was decontaminated in 30% bleach and triple-washed with distilled water the evening before. Nitrile gloves were used when collecting the samples and changed between sampling points. The field laboratory used for filtering and storing of field equipment at IISD-ELA had not previously been used for sampling or storage of animal tissues. Benches were cleaned thoroughly with 20% bleach before use. After use, Buchner filtration funnels were washed in soapy water, soaked in 30% bleach for ten minutes and vigorously triple-rinsed in ultrapure water between samples. DNA extraction and pre-PCR preparation were conducted in a dedicated environmental DNA laboratory at McGill University, which was separate from all molecular work involving tissue and PCR amplicons. The laboratory and equipment were thoroughly cleaned with 10% bleach before and after use (e.g. surfaces, floors, main shelving). Filter tips were used for all molecular work. There was no detectable PCR amplification in any field, DNA extraction or PCR negative controls based on gel electrophoresis, but we included all blanks for sequencing.

2.5 | Bioinformatics

We used custom scripts to remove adapters, merge paired sequences, check quality and generate amplicon sequencing variants (ASVs). Samples were received as demultiplexed fastq files from Génome Québec. Nonbiological nucleotides were removed (primers, indices and adapters) using cutadapt (Martin, 2011). Paired reads were merged using PEAR (Zhang, Kobert, Flouri, & Stamatakis, 2014). Quality scores for sequences were analysed with FASTQC (Andrews, 2010). Amplicon sequencing variants (ASVs) were generated using the UNOISE3 package (Edgar, 2016), which uses a denoising pipeline to remove sequencing error and to cluster sequences into single variants (100% similarity). The generation of ASVs has several advantages over OTUs including finer resolution, accurate measures of diversity and easy comparison between independently processed data sets (Callahan, McMurdie, & Holmes, 2017). The full pipeline is available from <https://github.com/CristescuLab/YAAP>.

After ASVs were generated, we assigned taxonomy using BLAST+ (Camacho et al., 2009) and BASTA (Kahlke & Ralph, 2019), a last common ancestor algorithm. We used a custom reference database which contained only fish known to exist in the Lake of the Woods region (Ontario, CA), downloaded from the NCBI database on 12 August 2018. Biomonitoring has been ongoing since the 1960s so there is a well-developed knowledge of species composition in this area. We also compared our assignments against the full NCBI database and found only one additional fish ASV with the larger database. This matched to the *Hypophthalmichthys* genus (carp species native to Asia), which is not known to exist at IISD-ELA but appeared at high abundance in one sample, which most likely originated as a false positive at the molecular laboratory stage. Other taxonomic groups appeared at very low frequencies when our ASVs were matched against the NCBI database, such as bacterial, mammalian and bird taxa, but as they were not the focus of our study they were excluded.

2.6 | Statistical approach

We used a variance stabilizing transformation on our sample \times ASV matrix to account for uneven library size across our samples. Unlike rarefaction, this approach does not discard valuable data due to differing library sizes (McMurdie & Holmes, 2014). We chose not to use a correction for the low numbers of sequences which appear in blank samples because PCR amplification dynamics occur differently in samples which have extremely low amounts of template DNA when compared with positive template samples, resulting in compositional shifts of OTUs (Castle et al., 2018; Chandler, Fredrickson, & Brockman, 1997). Instead, information about sequences found in blank samples is displayed in Table S6. All statistical analyses were implemented in R v3.6.2 and vegan v2.5-6 (Oksanen et al., 2019; R Core Team, 2019).

We examined the relationship between fish community assemblages and the interaction between lake depth and lake state (stratified or isothermal) with PERMANOVA analysis. We used a Bray–Curtis distance matrix on our transformed sample \times ASV matrix

as the response variable. We tested the interaction between lake depth (coded as a continuous variable) and lake state on community composition, specifying 5,000 permutations constrained within lake "strata." We then tested for homogeneity in multivariate dispersion between our groups with the function `betadisper`. We used nonmetric multidimensional scaling to visualize fish communities, by specifying either two or three dimensions (to minimize stress and achieve convergence) and 200 random starts.

We explored the contribution of each species to seasonal differences in ASV counts at different depths by fitting mixed effects models. We used ASV count for each species in each sample as the response variable modelled as the interaction between lake state, depth of sample and fish species to investigate whether stratification and turnover had variable effects for different species. We implemented negative binomial mixed effects models with lake identity as a random effect in `glmmTMB` (Brooks et al., 2017), using the total library size (DNA sequence counts for each sample) as a log offset in the model (Zurr, Ieno, Walker, Saveliev, & Smith, 2009). This approach allows us to control for library size while retaining interpretable response data (e.g. in comparison to transforming variables which has been used in other studies). We also fitted several reduced models and compared these with AIC, always retaining the lake identity as a random effect term due to the nature of the experimental design. Once we had selected our best-fitting model with AIC, we confirmed the significance of the highest-level interaction term with a likelihood-ratio test. Final models were evaluated for overdispersion.

We fitted a second series of mixed effects models to examine the relationship between the strength of eDNA signal in the water and habitat use by *S. namaycush* as detected by acoustic telemetry. We fitted the counts of *S. namaycush* ASVs as the response variable, and the interaction between lake state (stratified or isothermal) and telemetry detections as the explanatory variables, as this would allow the relationship to vary according to differential habitat use and presence of the thermocline. We implemented negative binomial mixed effects models with lake identity as a random effect in `glmmTMB` (Brooks et al., 2017), again using the total library size (DNA sequence counts for each sample) as a log offset in the model. This analysis was performed for each of the three temporal data sets of telemetry data collected (one day, one week and one month before the point of sampling), to test whether differences in the temporal range of habitat selection better explained the distribution of eDNA, as it is known to persist in the water column for several days to weeks. Several simpler models with a reduced fixed effects structure were fitted for each temporal data set, and we compared all models with AIC.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Thermal habitat structure

Temperature profiles in each lake confirmed that eDNA sampling occurred during stratification and turnover (isothermal or near-isothermal conditions) within the study lakes (Table S3). The thermocline

was confirmed as being between 4.6 and 6.6 m from the surface (approximately between eDNA sampling depths two and three for most lakes). These patterns are typical of those found in previous years during peak stratification and turnover for lakes in this region (Sichewski & Cruikshank, 1998).

3.2 | Recovery of eDNA sequences and taxonomic assignment

We recovered $94,013 \pm 6,389$ sequences per demultiplexed sample with an initial quality score of 33.0 ± 0.23 . After removing adapters, discarding low-quality sequences, merging paired-end sequences and length filtering, we retained $76,734 \pm 5,954$ sequences per sample. From the entire data set, we created 373 ASVs, onto which we were able to map back 98.6% of filtered sequences ($75,635 \pm 5,871$ per sample, Table S7). A total of 28 ASVs were assigned to fish species known to exist at IISD-ELA. Although this number was small as a proportion of the total number of ASVs, 95.1% of all the filtered sequences in the data set belonged to fish found at IISD-ELA ($71,905 \pm 5,725$ per sample). The ASVs from other taxonomic groups had very low numbers of reads ($3,730 \pm 984$ per sample). This indicates that most sequences in our data set belong to fish from this geographic region, rather than resulting from the amplification of nontarget taxonomic groups (e.g. bacteria, birds and mammals, which had low sequence abundances, Figure S1).

In the mock community, we made 19/27 correct detections at species level (Tables S4 and S5). Of those not detected at species level, four were detected at genus level (i.e. the last common ancestor algorithm assigned a match of the correct genus with no species name), two were detected at family level (i.e. the correct family but no species or genus given by the last common ancestor algorithm), one had many congeners detected although not the correct species, and one could not be detected at any level. Although a last common ancestor approach has the advantage of assigning taxonomy in a repeatable way according to predefined parameters, the output can be fairly conservative when used with a very large reference database (in this case the entire NCBI database), which could account for the fact that ASVs which could not be assigned at species level were assigned to correct genus or family levels.

The eDNA samples detected the majority (12/14) of fish species confirmed by both historical and present-day fishing surveys as being present in these habitats. The two species which were not detected (*Culaea inconstans* (brook stickleback) and *Rhinichthys cataractae* (longnose dace)) are known to prefer near-shore and stream habitats and are also noted as being rare in many of these lakes, and thus, sampling at the centre point of the lake may not be optimal to detect them at these times of year. We were able to assign the majority of ASV sequences at species level using the last common ancestor algorithm with two exceptions. Cisco (*Coregonus artedii*) could only be assigned at genus level, as a closely related congener lake whitefish (*Coregonus clupeaformis*) also exists in this region (although *C. clupeaformis* is not present in any of our study lakes). *Chrosomus*

neogaeus (finescale dace) and *Chrosomus eos* (redbelly dace) were both assigned at genus level, possibly because pure *C. eos* does not exist in this region but instead forms both cytoplasmic and nuclear hybrids with *C. neogaeus* (Mee & Taylor, 2012).

3.3 | Fish community assemblages

During stratification, the relative proportions of ASVs from each species per sample changed dramatically at different depths in the lakes (Figure 2a). The overall species composition of the lakes was the same, yet species detection differed greatly at certain depths, with the greatest change taking place between depth sampling points two and three (approximately 4.6–6.6 m from the surface), which demarcates the thermocline in most lakes. For example, eDNA from cold-water stenotherms could only be detected in large proportions at the bottom of the lakes during lake stratification (*S. namaycush* and *Cottus cognatus*, slimy sculpin). The amount of *S. namaycush* DNA was four orders of magnitude less at the shallowest measurement points (1–1.5 m from the surface). Warm-water minnow species, which habitually inhabit shallow and littoral waters such as *C. neogaeus*, *Margariscus margarita* (Allegheny pearl dace) and *Pimephales promelas* (fathead minnow) were detected in much greater proportions at the surface, with large decreases in the proportions of sequences in samples taken from below the thermocline. eDNA from cool-water eurytherms was distributed across all sampling depths, with the exception of *Coregonus*, which was only abundant at points two and three and could barely be detected at either the shallowest or deepest depths.

During lake turnover in late autumn, fish community detection by eDNA was much more homogenous throughout the different depths of the lake (Figure 2b), characterized by a greater proportion of cold-water fish sequences found at shallow depths. Changes in detection throughout the water columns were relatively small; for example, there was a slight increase in the proportion of *C. cognatus* sequences

recovered at deeper sampling depths, but this species was found in the shallow samples as well. Similarly, there was a slight decrease in the sequences of minnow and perch species at deeper depths in the water column (*Perca flavescens* (yellow perch), *M. margarita*, *P. promelas*), but minnows could still be detected at the deepest depths in greater proportions than during stratification. *Coregonus* detections were no longer concentrated to the middle of the water column but could be detected at shallow and deep depths as well.

There was a significant interaction between lake depth and lake state affecting fish community assemblages detected by eDNA (PERMANOVA, $F_{1,335} = 4.35$, $p = .0002$). This result indicates that fish communities were detected throughout the water column differently if the lake was stratified or isothermal. NMDS plots for each lake showed that communities were clearly grouped by lake state (Figure 3), with distinct communities detected during stratification and turnover in most lakes. This result was confirmed by our mixed effects modelling approach to describe the distribution of fish ASV counts. The model which best fits the data included the three-way interaction between lake state (stratified or isothermal), eDNA sample depth and fish species as an explanatory factor, when compared to any reduced model ($\Delta AIC 92.7$). A full list of the reduced models that we tested and their AIC scores appears in Table S8. The three-way interaction between lake state, sample depth and species was highly significant (likelihood-ratio test = 112.7, $p < .001$). eDNA from different fish species was distributed across the vertical column differently in each water mixing period.

3.4 | Relationship between eDNA and *S. Namaycush* habitat use

S. namaycush eDNA was primarily concentrated in the bottom half of lakes (Figure 4a red bars) during lake stratification (corresponding to points deeper than 6.25–10 m depending on the depth of the lake




FIGURE 2 Proportional barplot shows the relative species composition detected by amplicon sequencing variants (ASVs) of all lakes combined during lake stratification (a) and lake turnover (b), at different sample intervals in the water column. The depth variable comprises of six evenly spaced vertical sampling points in the water column, and thus, absolute measurements will vary for lakes of different depths. Point 1 is the shallowest measurement near the surface of the lake. Fish species are arranged in order of warm to cold thermal guilds (Table S2)




FIGURE 3 NMDS plots for each lake showing community dissimilarities detected by each sample. Samples from different seasonal water conditions are coloured differently (stratified samples in red, turnover samples in blue). The intensity of colour varies according to sample depth in the water column: the shallowest samples are represented with the lightest colours and the deepest samples with the darkest colours

sampled). These points were on average 2.37 m below the thermocline in each lake (range: 0.62–4.12 m, see Table S3 for thermocline depths), with the smallest distance belonging to a shallow lake with very limited habitat for *S. namaycush*. Typically, this point coincided with the

15°C isotherm, which is the threshold for lake trout thermal preference. Acoustic telemetry showed that *S. namaycush* inhabited the bottom two-thirds of the water column during stratification, although they were less likely to occupy the deepest depths (Figure 4b red bars,

median depth of telemetry detections = 7.74–11.90 m). During lake turnover, *S. namaycush* eDNA was very abundant at all points in the water column, with no clear patterns according to sampling depth. During turnover, acoustic telemetry showed that *S. namaycush* primarily selected habitat in the top third of the water column, with frequency tailing off at the deepest part of the lake (Figure 4b blue bars, median depth of telemetry detections = 1.73–6.51 m). The difference between the median depths of *S. namaycush* one month and one week before, as well as the day of sampling, was not large (Table S9).

The top ranked model to explain *S. namaycush* eDNA counts included the interaction between lake state (stratified or isothermal) and telemetry detection frequency for the month prior to the day of sampling ($\log(S. \textit{namaycush} \textit{ ASV counts}) = -2.14 + 6.80 \textit{ telemetry} + 0.97 \textit{ turnover} - 6.02 \textit{ telemetry} \times \textit{ turnover}$). There was a positive correlation between *S. namaycush* telemetry detections and eDNA counts during lake stratification, but no relationship during turnover (Figure 5). There were also five other models within two AIC counts of the top ranked model, which could be considered as having equal explanatory power (all models are listed in Table S10). These included a model with only the two main effects (no interaction) for average telemetry detections for the data from a month prior to sampling, as well as models with and without the interaction term for both the week prior to sampling, as well as the day of sampling, indicating that there were not large differences in the abilities of the different temporal groupings of telemetry detections to predict *S. namaycush* eDNA.


4 | DISCUSSION

Our study was designed to test the influences of lake stratification and mixing on eDNA distribution within the framework of a replicated, whole-lake experimental design. Our results demonstrate that eDNA signals show very strong seasonal stratification during

summer and mixing during autumn in a manner that closely reflects the thermal preference of fishes. We detected large differences in fish community composition during different lake states (Figure 3). During stratification, the most dramatic changes in community composition measured with eDNA took place in samples above and below the thermocline: warm-water fish eDNA was stratified above the thermocline, and cold-water fish eDNA was concentrated below the thermocline (Figure 2). These differences were observed even across very small spatial scales (<30 m) between shallow and deep sampling points. By contrast, during lake turnover, eDNA of all fish species was relatively homogenous throughout the water column.

Few studies have managed to weigh the relative importance of abiotic and biotic influences on the distribution of eDNA—in this system, the two are intrinsically linked through bioenergetic requirements of fish which are manifest as thermal preferences. Thermal density gradients of lake water during stratification create distinct microhabitats for *S. namaycush* that provide suitable oxythermal habitat, which is generally defined as the volume of the lake that is <15°C with >4 mg/L DO (Plumb & Blanchfield, 2009). In late summer, optimal oxythermal habitat for *S. namaycush* is greatly reduced, concentrating this species into a narrow band within lakes that is often only a few metres thick (Plumb & Blanchfield, 2009). As a result, *S. namaycush* eDNA becomes localized due to narrow habitat selection by this cold-water stenotherm and the presence of the thermocline, which restricts water mixing between the epilimnion and hypolimnion (Wetzel, 2001). This is an important finding for the design of eDNA sampling studies, given that our study lakes are some of the smallest capable of supporting *S. namaycush* habitat. During lake turnover, the shallow-water presence of *S. namaycush* (shown by acoustic telemetry results to be in the top third of the water column) is decoupled from the distribution of eDNA signals, highlighting the role that water column mixing may have to play in dispersing the eDNA signal (Figure 4). Rapid cooling of epilimnetic

FIGURE 4 Lake trout amplicon sequencing variants (a) and *Salvelinus namaycush* (lake trout) telemetry detections (b) ordered by lake depth with stratified samples in red, turnover samples in blue. The depth variable is comprised of six evenly spaced vertical sampling points in the water column, and thus, absolute measurements will vary for lakes of different depths (minimum lake depth = 13.2 m, maximum lake depth = 30.4 m). Point 1 is the shallowest measurement near the surface of the lake. Telemetry signal counts are expressed as a proportion of the total telemetry counts for that lake over the previous month. Depth interval size is also controlled for.






FIGURE 5 Model predictions from the best-fit model to explain *Salvelinus namaycush* (lake trout) amplicon sequencing variants (ASVs). The best-fit model included the interaction between seasonal water column thermal structure and proportion of telemetry signals in that depth interval. Telemetry signal counts are expressed as a proportion of the total telemetry counts for that lake over the previous month, and depth interval size is also controlled for. Shaded error bars are 95% confidence intervals

waters in autumn initiates complete water column mixing and at the same time triggers *S. namaycush* movements from the hypolimnion to the shallow littoral areas of the lake to spawn in early-mid October. These abiotic and biotic processes result in a large amount of eDNA redistribution and release, respectively, leading to relatively even eDNA distribution throughout the water column.

Results from other fish species also suggest the importance of lake state (stratified or isothermal) in isolating or dispersing eDNA signals in lacustrine systems after initial eDNA release. The creation of microhabitats according to temperature gradients resulted in the detection of distinct community assemblages above and below the thermocline. During stratification, large amounts of eDNA from warm-water minnow species such as *Pimephales promelas* and *Chrosomus neogaeus* were found at the shallowest depths of the lake (the shallowest two sampling points fell between 1 and 6.5 m), consistent with their observed association with littoral regions of IISD-ELA lakes (Guzzo et al. 2014), and documented temperature preferences (Table S2). Moreover, eDNA sampling during lake turnover showed a much more equitable distribution of eDNA signals for warm-water minnow species. Thus, the contribution of water mixing to transporting warm-water fish eDNA to the bottom of the lake and shaping the distribution of eDNA is likely to be considerable. Interestingly, the minnows in our study lakes are classified as littoral-benthic species, spending the majority of time at the shoreline and small streams around the edges of the lake, indicating that the water between the shoreline and centre point in the epilimnion is well mixed. Studies involving the addition of tritiated water to the epilimnion of dimictic lakes have confirmed that the composition of the epilimnion becomes homogeneous one day after tracer injection, with vigorous mixing primarily occurring due to wind-induced

horizontal movement. By contrast, rates of vertical diffusion of tracer across the thermocline of stratified lakes are much slower (Quay, 1980). Few studies have considered how habitat selection by organisms shapes the release of their eDNA or how this should influence design of biomonitoring surveys with eDNA.

Around the world, lake habitats have a variety of mixing regimes and other water movements which could influence the distribution of eDNA. Stratification is a major structuring force in temperate lakes, as long as the lakes are deep enough to allow for the formation of a thermocline. Potentially, deeper lakes will have more distinct microhabitat isolation between the epilimnion and deep waters, which in turn might result in a greater isolation of warm-water and cold-water species' eDNA above and below the thermocline. Thermocline depths are also strongly influenced by lake clarity—specifically, the concentration of dissolved organic carbon. Dark lakes tend to have a shallower thermocline depth than clear lakes because the light is absorbed and not allowed to penetrate deeper. Our results reflect those of Handley et al., (2019), who found greater heterogeneity in community composition of samples at three depth points during summer sampling when compared with winter sampling in their study of a single deep lake (1,480 ha, depth of 44 m/64 m in two basins), and that eDNA from a cold-water stenotherm (*S. alpinus*) was only detectable in midwater and deep-water habitats. Although metabarcoding studies such as ours cannot measure the absolute numbers of DNA molecules in different samples, these may also differ between stratification and turnover due to differences in the range of possible habitat occupancy and rate of degradation caused by water temperature (Klobucar et al., 2017). By contrast, Li et al., (2019) found eDNA of deep-water species in shoreline samples during winter sampling, but as it is not clear to what degree (if any) the study lakes were stratified during winter months, this may have been the result of thorough mixing during autumn turnover. While previous eDNA studies have highlighted the surprising potential of rivers and streams to transport eDNA in the range of hundreds of metres to kilometres (Deiner & Altermatt, 2014; Deiner et al., 2016; Jane et al., 2015), we show that other hydrological forces can isolate microhabitats from each other which are physically just a few metres apart. Our findings may also apply to other monomictic, dimictic and meromictic lakes, as well as tropical and temperate oceans, which undergo periods of seasonal or permanent stratification.

As with all ecological sampling techniques, there are a number of potential routes for false positives and negatives to occur with eDNA sampling in the field (Ficetola et al., 2015; Jerde, 2019). Increased biological and technical sampling effort, coupled with adequate preservation of DNA, has already been called for to limit false negatives (Ficetola et al., 2015), but it is apparent from our analysis that carefully planning the timing of sampling and/or location of samples is highly important, when a difference of even a few metres could alter conclusions regarding species presence or absence. Maintaining the status quo of a surface sampling approach during the summer months will exclude or limit the consistent detection of cold-water species during periods of seasonal stratification, resulting in poor

representation of these species in data sets. By planning monitoring campaigns for lake turnover, practitioners can use surface samples (which are often easier and faster to collect) to reliably sample fish species with a wide range of bioenergetic requirements. If sampling must be carried out during lake stratification, cold-water species can be targeted by sampling deeper layers with pumps, Freidinger/van Dorn bottles or integrated samplers (e.g. Hänfling et al., 2016; Handley et al., 2019; Lim et al., 2016; Yamamoto, Masuda, Sato, Sado, & Ara, 2017), as well as sampling surface waters to detect eurytherms. Use of this equipment presents further challenges in the field if sampling of multiple habitats is planned, as careful cleaning of equipment between habitats is necessary to reduce cross-contamination.

Much advancement has been made in molecular and computational approaches for eDNA work, confirming methods of substrate filtration, DNA extraction, primer choice and bioinformatic filtering (e.g. Alberdi et al., 2017; Clare, Chain, Littlefair, & Cristescu, 2016; Deiner et al., 2015). The design of field sampling campaigns provides the foundation on which other methods build, including timing and duration of sampling, location and replication of samples, power of experimental design and even choice of sampling equipment. Many early studies used mesocosm approaches to study the fieldwork components of eDNA work, such as the abiotic and biotic influences on the rates of DNA production and degradation (e.g. Mächler et al., 2018; Seymour et al., 2018; Strickler et al., 2015). Using this approach, environmental factors can either be studied in isolation or as a multifactorial experiment in combination with a low number of other variables, while allowing for experimental replication and some control of other sources of environmental variation. Yet, there are many interacting facets that control the rates of production, transport and decay of eDNA within ecosystems that cannot be observed within small artificial systems, as has been argued in other areas of ecology which make use of mesocosm studies (Carpenter, 1996). Equally, the ecological significance of these factors cannot be tested when examined in isolation (Carpenter, Chisholm, Krebs, Schindler, & Wright, 1995). Studies at the habitat scale have already suggested possible generalities linking eDNA to biological activity; for example, that peaks of eDNA can indicate the onset of reproduction (Bylemans et al., 2018; Spear et al., 2015) or relative abundance of species (Li et al., 2019). Our next challenge in eDNA research will be to scale up experimentation to produce generalizable rules for eDNA distribution in real ecosystems and interpret this in light of the biology of our study organisms.

4.1 | Animal care permits

Fish were collected and the telemetry tags implanted under the following permits: Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry Licence to Collect Fish for Scientific Purposes #1085769 (2017), #1089495 (2018) and Lakehead University Animal Use Protocol #1464657 (renewed in 2017 and 2018).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are indebted to many IISD Experimental Lakes Area students and staff for maintaining records of field data and for logistical assistance with this project. S Michaleski, R Henderson, P Bulloch, M Haust, C Jackson and A McLeod contributed specific field assistance to this project. K Sandilands provided equipment used in this project. Dr J S Hleap provided bioinformatics support to this project. This work was funded by a Mitacs Accelerate Industrial Fellowship (JEL), an NSERC Collaborative Research and Development award (MEC), Canada Research Chair and NSERC Discovery awards to MEC and MDR, Québec Centre for Biodiversity Science Excellence award (JEL), the WSP Montréal Environment Department and in-kind support from the IISD Experimental Lakes Area and Fisheries & Oceans Canada. We thank Jean Carreau and Patrick LaFrance of WSP Montréal for useful discussions on the topics of eDNA and biomonitoring.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

The experiments were designed by JEL and MEC. Molecular data were collected by JEL and LEH. The experiments were analysed and the figures made by JEL. The telemetry data sets and ongoing surveys of species richness were collected and processed by LEH, PB and MR. The first draft of the manuscript was written by JEL. All authors contributed to editing.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Raw fastq files, sample x ASV tables and the sequence composition of the ASVs are available at Dryad (doi.org/10.5061/dryad.000000022). Scripts to process bioinformatic data are available from <https://github.com/CristescuLab/YAAP>.

ORCID

Joanne E. Littlefair  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4481-0211>

REFERENCES

- Alberdi, A., Aizpurua, O., Gilbert, M. T. P., & Bohmann, K. (2018). Scrutinizing key steps for reliable metabarcoding of environmental samples. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 9(1), 134–147. <https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12849>
- Andrews, S. (2010). FastQC. A quality control tool for high throughput sequence data.
- Andruszkiewicz, E. A., Sassoubre, L. M., & Boehm, A. B. (2017). Persistence of marine fish environmental DNA and the influence of sunlight. *PLoS One*, 12(9), e0185043. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0185043>
- Barnes, M. A., & Turner, C. R. (2016). The ecology of environmental DNA and implications for conservation genetics. *Conservation Genetics*, 17, 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10592-015-0775-4>
- Beitinger, T. L., & Fitzpatrick, L. C. (1979). Physiological and ecological correlates of preferred temperature in fish. *American Zoologist*, 19, 319–329. <https://doi.org/10.1093/icb/19.1.319>
- Berry, T. E., Saunders, B. J., Coghlan, M. L., Stat, M., Jarman, S., Richardson, A. J., ... Bunce, M. (2019). Marine environmental DNA biomonitoring reveals seasonal patterns in biodiversity and identifies ecosystem responses to anomalous climatic events. *PLoS Genetics*, 15(2), e1007943. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1007943>

- Bista, I., Carvalho, G. R., Walsh, K., Seymour, M., Hajibabaei, M., Lallias, D., ... Creer, S. (2016). Annual time-series analysis of aqueous eDNA reveals ecologically relevant dynamics of lake ecosystem biodiversity. *Nature Communications*, 8, 14087. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms14087>
- Blanchfield, P. J., Flavelle, L. S., Hodge, T. F., & Orihel, D. M. (2005). The response of lake trout to manual tracking. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society*, 134(2), 346–355. <https://doi.org/10.1577/t04-048.1>
- Bohmann, K., Evans, A., Gilbert, M. T. P., Carvalho, G. R., Creer, S., Knapp, M., ... de Bruyn, M. (2014). Environmental DNA for wildlife biology and biodiversity monitoring. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 29(6), 358–367. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2014.04.003>
- Brooks, M. E., Kristensen, K., Benthem, K. J., Magnusson, A., Berg, C. W., Nielsen, A., ... Bolker, B. M. (2017). glmmTMB balances speed and flexibility among packages for zero-inflated generalized linear mixed modeling. *R Journal*, 9(2), 378–400. <https://doi.org/10.32614/rj-2017-066>
- Bylemans, J., Furlan, E. M., Gleeson, D. M., Hardy, C. M., & Duncan, R. P. (2018). Does size matter? An experimental evaluation of the relative abundance and decay rates of aquatic eDNA. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 52, 6408–6416. research-article. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.8b01071>
- Callahan, B. J., McMurdie, P. J., & Holmes, S. P. (2017). Exact sequence variants should replace operational taxonomic units in marker-gene data analysis. *The ISME Journal*, 11(12), 2639–2643. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2017.119>
- Camacho, C., Coulouris, G., Avagyan, V., Ma, N., Papadopoulos, J., Bealer, K., & Madden, T. L. (2009). BLAST+: Architecture and applications. *BMC Bioinformatics*, 10, 421. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-10-421>
- Carpenter, S. R. (1996). Microcosm experiments have limited relevance for community and ecosystem ecology. *Ecology*, 77(3), 677–680. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2265490>
- Carpenter, S. R., Chisholm, S. W., Krebs, C. J., Schindler, D. W., & Wright, R. F. (1995). Ecosystem experiments. *Science*, 269(5222), 324–327. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.269.5222.324>
- Castle, S. C., Song, Z., Gohl, D. M., Gutknecht, J. L. M., Rosen, C. J., Sadowsky, M. J., ... Kinkel, L. L. (2018). DNA template dilution impacts amplicon sequencing-based estimates of soil fungal diversity. *Phytobiomes Journal*, 2(2), 100–107. <https://doi.org/10.1094/PBIOMES-09-17-0037-R>
- Chandler, D. P., Fredrickson, J. K., & Brockman, F. J. (1997). Effect of PCR template concentration on the composition and distribution of total community 16S rDNA clone libraries. *Molecular Ecology*, 6(5), 475–482. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-294X.1997.00205.x>
- Clare, E. L., Chain, F. J. J., Littlefair, J. E., & Cristescu, M. E. (2016). The effects of parameter choice on defining molecular operational taxonomic units and resulting ecological analyses of metabarcoding data. *Genome*, 59(11), 981–990. <https://doi.org/10.1139/gen-2015-0184>
- R Core Team, (2019). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna, Austria.: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Retrieved from <http://www.r-project.org/>.
- Cristescu, M. E., & Hebert, P. D. N. (2018). Uses and misuses of environmental DNA in biodiversity science and conservation. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*, 49, 209–230. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-110617-062306>
- De Souza, L. S., Godwin, J. C., Renshaw, M. A., & Larson, E. (2016). Environmental DNA (eDNA) detection probability is influenced by seasonal activity of organisms. *PLoS One*, 11(10), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0165273>
- Deiner, K., & Altermatt, F. (2014). Transport distance of invertebrate environmental DNA in a natural river. *PLoS One*, 9(2), e88786. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0088786>
- Deiner, K., Bik, H. M., Mächler, E., Seymour, M., Lacoursière-Roussel, A., Altermatt, F., ... Bernatchez, L. (2017). Environmental DNA metabarcoding: Transforming how we survey animal and plant communities. *Molecular Ecology*, 26(21), 5872–5895. <https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.14350>
- Deiner, K., Fronhofer, E. A., Mächler, E., & Altermatt, F. (2016). Environmental DNA reveals that rivers are conveyor belts of biodiversity information. *Nature Communications*, 7, 12544. <https://doi.org/10.1101/020800>
- Deiner, K., Walsler, J. C., Mächler, E., & Altermatt, F. (2015). Choice of capture and extraction methods affect detection of freshwater biodiversity from environmental DNA. *Biological Conservation*, 183, 53–63. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2014.11.018>
- Edgar, R. C. (2016). UNOISE2: improved error-correction for Illumina 16S and ITS amplicon sequencing.
- Erickson, R. A., Merkes, C. M., & Mize, E. L. (2019). Sampling designs for landscape-level eDNA monitoring programs. *Integrated Environmental Assessment and Management*, 15, 760–771. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ieam.4155>
- Erickson, R. A., Rees, C. B., Coulter, A. A., Merkes, C. M., McCalla, S. G., Touzinsky, K. F., ... Amberg, J. J. (2016). Detecting the movement and spawning activity of bigheaded carps with environmental DNA. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, 16(4), 957–965. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.12533>
- Ficetola, G. F., Pansu, J., Bonin, A., Coissac, E., Giguet-Covex, C., De Barba, M., ... Taberlet, P. (2015). Replication levels, false presences and the estimation of the presence/absence from eDNA metabarcoding data. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, 15(3), 543–556. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.12338>
- Ficke, A. D., Myrick, C. A., & Hansen, L. J. (2007). Potential impacts of global climate change on freshwater fisheries. *Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries*, 17, 581–613. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11160-007-9059-5>
- Guzzo, M. M., Blanchfield, P. J., & Rennie, M. D. (2017). Behavioral responses to annual temperature variation alter the dominant energy pathway, growth, and condition of a cold-water predator. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 114(37), 9912–9917. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1702584114>
- Hänfling, B., Lawson Handley, L., Read, D. S., Hahn, C., Li, J., Nichols, P., ... Winfield, I. J. (2016). Environmental DNA metabarcoding of lake fish communities reflects long-term data from established survey methods. *Molecular Ecology*, 25(13), 3101–3119. <https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.13660>
- Harper, K. J., Anucha, N. P., Turnbull, J. F., Bean, C. W., & Leaver, M. J. (2018). Searching for a signal: Environmental DNA (eDNA) for the detection of invasive signal crayfish, *Pacifastacus leniusculus* (Dana, 1852). *Management of Biological Invasions*, 9(2), 137–148. <https://doi.org/10.3391/mbi.2018.9.2.07>
- Harrison, J. B., Sunday, J. M., & Rogers, S. M. (2019). Predicting the fate of eDNA in the environment and implications for studying biodiversity. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 286, 20191409. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2019.1409>
- Hasnain, S. S., Escobar, M. D., & Shuter, B. J. (2018). Estimating thermal response metrics for North American freshwater fish using Bayesian phylogenetic regression. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, 75(11), 1878–1885. <https://doi.org/10.1139/cjfas-2017-0278>
- Hasnain, S. S., Shuter, B. J., & Minns, C. K. (2013). Phylogeny influences the relationships linking key ecological thermal metrics for North American freshwater fish species. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, 70(7), 964–972. <https://doi.org/10.1139/cjfas-2012-0217>
- Jane, S. F., Wilcox, T. M., McKelvey, K. S., Young, M. K., Schwartz, M. K., Lowe, W. H., ... Whiteley, A. R. (2015). Distance, flow and PCR inhibition: eDNA dynamics in two headwater streams.

- Molecular Ecology Resources*, 15(1), 216–227. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.12285>
- Jerde, C. L. (2019). Can we manage fisheries with the inherent uncertainty from eDNA? *Journal of Fish Biology*, 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jfb.14218>
- Kahlke, T., & Ralph, P. J. (2019). BASTA – Taxonomic classification of sequences and sequence bins using last common ancestor estimations. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 10(1), 100–103. <https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.13095>
- Klobucar, S. L., Rodgers, T. W., & Budy, P. (2017). At the forefront: Evidence of the applicability of using environmental DNA to quantify the abundance of fish populations in natural lentic waters with additional sampling considerations. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, 74(12), 2030–2034. <https://doi.org/10.1139/cjfas-2017-0114>
- Klymus, K. E., Richter, C. A., Chapman, D. C., & Paukert, C. (2015). Quantification of eDNA shedding rates from invasive bighead carp *Hypophthalmichthys nobilis* and silver carp *Hypophthalmichthys molitrix*. *Biological Conservation*, 183, 77–84. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2014.11.020>
- Lance, R., Klymus, K., Richter, C., Guan, X., Farrington, H., Carr, M., ... Baerwaldt, K. (2017). Experimental observations on the decay of environmental DNA from bighead and silver carps. *Management of Biological Invasions*, 8(3), 343–359. <https://doi.org/10.3391/mbi.2017.8.3.08>
- Lawson Handley, L., Read, D. S., Winfield, I. J., Kimbell, H., Johnson, H., Li, J., ... Hänfling, B. (2019). Temporal and spatial variation in distribution of fish environmental DNA in England's largest lake. *Environmental DNA*, 1(1), 26–39. <https://doi.org/10.1002/edn3.5>
- Li, J., Hatton-Ellis, T. W., Lawson Handley, L.-J., Kimbell, H. S., Benucci, M., Peirson, G., & Hänfling, B. (2019). Ground-truthing of a fish-based environmental DNA metabarcoding method for assessing the quality of lakes. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 56(5), 1232–1244. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.13352>
- Lim, N. K. M., Tay, Y. C., Srivathsan, A., Tan, J. W. T., Kwik, J. T. B., Baloglu, B., ... Yeo, D. C. J. (2016). Next-generation freshwater bioassessment: eDNA metabarcoding with a conserved metazoan primer reveals species-rich and reservoir-specific communities. *Royal Society Open Science*, 3(11), 160635. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.160635>
- Macher, J.-N., & Leese, F. (2017). Environmental DNA metabarcoding of rivers: Not all eDNA is everywhere, and not all the time. *BioRxiv*, 164046. [10.1101/164046](https://doi.org/10.1101/164046)
- Mächler, E., Osathanunkul, M., & Altermatt, F. (2018). Shedding light on eDNA: Neither natural levels of UV radiation nor the presence of a filter feeder affect eDNA-based detection of aquatic organisms. *PLoS One*, 13(4), e0195529. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0195529>
- Magnuson, J. J., Crowder, L. B., & Medvick, P. A. (1979). Temperature as an ecological resource. *American Zoologist*, 19, 331–343. <https://doi.org/10.1093/icb/19.1.331>
- Martin, M. (2011). Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads. *EMBnet Journal*, 17(1), 10–12. <http://dx.doi.org/10.14806/ej.17.1.200>
- McMeans, B. C., McCann, K. S., Guzzo, M. M., Bartley, T. J., Bieg, C., Blanchfield, P. J., ... Shuter, B. J. (2020). Winter in water: Differential responses and the maintenance of biodiversity. *Ecology Letters*, 23(6), 922–938. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.13504>
- McMurdie, P. J., & Holmes, S. (2014). Waste not, want not: Why rarefying microbiome data is inadmissible. *PLoS Computational Biology*, 10(4), e1003531. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003531>
- Mee, J. A., & Taylor, E. B. (2012). The cybrid invasion: Widespread post-glacial dispersal by *Phoxinus* (Pisces: Cyprinidae) cytoplasmic hybrids. *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, 90(5), 577–584. <https://doi.org/10.1139/z2012-023>
- Miya, M., Sato, Y., Fukunaga, T., Sado, T., Poulsen, J. Y., Sato, K., ... Iwasaki, W. (2015). MiFish, a set of universal PCR primers for metabarcoding environmental DNA from fishes: Detection of more than 230 subtropical marine species. *Royal Society Open Science*, 2(7), 150088. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.150088>
- Nichols, R. V., Königsson, H., Danell, K., & Spong, G. (2012). Browsed twig environmental DNA: Diagnostic PCR to identify ungulate species. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, 12(6), 983–989. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1755-0998.2012.03172.x>
- O'Donnell, J. L., Kelly, R. P., Shelton, A. O., Samhoury, J. F., Lowell, N. C., & Williams, G. D. (2017). Spatial distribution of environmental DNA in a nearshore marine habitat. *PeerJ*, 5, e3044. <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.3044>
- Oksanen, J., Blanchet, F. G., Friendly, M., Kindt, R., Legendre, P., McGlinn, D., Helene, W. (2019). *vegan*: Community Ecology Package. Retrieved from <https://cran.r-project.org/package=vegan>.
- Paterson, M. J., Podemski, C. L., Wesson, L. J., & Dupuis, A. P. (2011). The effects of an experimental freshwater cage aquaculture operation on *Mysis diluviana*. *Journal of Plankton Research*, 33(1), 25–36. <https://doi.org/10.1093/plankt/fbq096>
- Plumb, J. M., & Blanchfield, P. J. (2009). Performance of temperature and dissolved oxygen criteria to predict habitat use by lake trout (*Salvelinus namaycush*). *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, 66(11), 2011–2023. <https://doi.org/10.1139/F09-129>
- Port, J. A., O'Donnell, J. L., Romero-Maraccini, O. C., Leary, P. R., Litvin, S. Y., Nickols, K. J., ... Kelly, R. P. (2016). Assessing vertebrate biodiversity in a kelp forest ecosystem using environmental DNA. *Molecular Ecology*, 25(2), 527–541. <https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.13481>
- Quay, P. D. (1980). Vertical diffusion rates determined by tritium tracer experiments in the thermocline and hypolimnion of two lakes. *Limnology and Oceanography*, 25(2), 201–218.
- Sansom, B. J., & Sassoubre, L. M. (2017). Environmental DNA (eDNA) shedding and decay rates to model freshwater mussel eDNA transport in a river. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 51(24), 14244–14253. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b05199>
- Sassoubre, L. M., Yamahara, K. M., Gardner, L. D., Block, B. A., & Boehm, A. B. (2016). Quantification of environmental DNA (eDNA) shedding and decay rates for three marine fish. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 50(19), 10456–10464. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b03114>
- Seymour, M., Durance, I., Cosby, B. J., Deiner, K., Ormerod, S. J., Colbourne, J. K., ... Biology, E. (2018). Acidity promotes degradation of multi-species eDNA in lotic mesocosms. *Communications Biology*, 1, 4. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-017-0005-3>
- Sichewski, K. B., & Cruikshank, D. R. (1998). *Temperature profiles and Secchi disk transparency for 18 lakes in the Experimental Lakes Area, 1994–1996*. Winnipeg, Manitoba: Fisheries and Oceans Canada. Retrieved from <http://publications.gc.ca/site/eng/9.577328/publication.html>
- Sigsgaard, E. E., Nielsen, I. B., Carl, H., Krag, M. A., Knudsen, S. W., Xing, Y., ... Thomsen, P. F. (2017). Seawater environmental DNA reflects seasonality of a coastal fish community. *Marine Biology*, 164, 128. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-017-3147-4>
- Spear, S. F., Groves, J. D., Williams, L. A., & Waits, L. P. (2015). Using environmental DNA methods to improve detectability in a hellbender (*Cryptobranchus alleganiensis*) monitoring program. *Biological Conservation*, 183, 38–45. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2014.11.016>
- Stoeckle, M. Y., Soboleva, L., & Charlop-Powers, Z. (2017). Aquatic environmental DNA detects seasonal fish abundance and habitat preference in an urban estuary. *PLoS One*, 12(4), e0175186. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0175186>
- Strickler, K. M., Fremier, A. K., & Goldberg, C. S. (2015). Quantifying effects of UV-B, temperature, and pH on eDNA degradation in

- aquatic microcosms. *Biological Conservation*, 183, 85–92. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2014.11.038>
- Taberlet, P. P., Coissac, E., Pompanon, F. F., Brochmann, C., & Willerslev, E. (2012). Towards next-generation biodiversity assessment using DNA metabarcoding. *Molecular Ecology*, 21(8), 2045–2050. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2012.05470.x>
- Uchii, K., Doi, H., Yamanaka, H., & Minamoto, T. (2017). Distinct seasonal migration patterns of Japanese native and non-native genotypes of common carp estimated by environmental DNA. *Ecology and Evolution*, 7(20), 8515–8522. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.3346>
- Wetzel, R. (2001). *Limnology: Lake and river ecosystems*, 3rd ed. Cambridge, Massachusetts: Academic Press.
- Yamamoto, S., Masuda, R., Sato, Y., Sado, T., Araki, H., Kondoh, M., ... Miya, M. (2017). Environmental DNA metabarcoding reveals local fish communities in a species-rich coastal sea. *Scientific Reports*, 7, 40368. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep40368>
- Yamamoto, S., Minami, K., Fukaya, K., Takahashi, K., Sawada, H., Murakami, H., ... Kondoh, M. (2016). Environmental DNA as a 'Snapshot' of fish distribution: A case study of Japanese jack mackerel in Maizuru bay. *Sea of Japan. Plos One*, 11(3), e0149786. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0149786>
- Zhang, J., Kobert, K., Flouri, T., & Stamatakis, A. (2014). PEAR: A fast and accurate Illumina Paired-End reAd mergeR. *Bioinformatics*, 30(5), 614–620. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btt593>
- Zurr, A. F., Ieno, E. N., Walker, N. J., Saveliev, A. A., & Smith, G. M. (2009). GLM and GAM for count data. In *Mixed effects models and extensions in ecology with R* (pp. 209–243). New York: Springer-Verlag

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section.

How to cite this article: Littlefair JE, Hrenchuk LE, Blanchfield PJ, Rennie MD, Cristescu ME. Thermal stratification and fish thermal preference explain vertical eDNA distributions in lakes. *Mol Ecol*. 2021;30:3083–3096. <https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.15623>