**RESEARCH**



# **Variation in female‑biased sexual size dimorphism of northern pike (***Esox lucius***) associated with environment and life history**

**P. J. Kennedy1,4  [·](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1379-4164) M. D. Rennie1,2,[3](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7533-4759)**

Received: 4 January 2024 / Accepted: 28 February 2024 / Published online: 19 March 2024 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2024, corrected publication 2024

## **Abstract**

Sexual size dimorphism (SSD) is a widespread phenomenon in the animal world resulting from diferential selection on the sexes. The northern pike (*Esox lucius*) is a freshwater apex predatory fsh species that exhibits female-biased SSD, but the degree to which SSD varies among populations and what variables might dictate variation in SSD in this species remain poorly understood. We sought to quantify the degree of variation in SSD among northern pike populations across a large portion of their North American range, as well as evaluate associations between the magnitude of SSD in northern pike populations with environmental variables and life history traits of populations. We quantifed SSD in 102 populations of northern pike across the province of Ontario, Canada, using a standardized gillnetting database, and investigated the degree to which both environmental variables (cisco [*Coregonus artedi*] abundance as catch-per-unit-efort, lake surface area, and latitude) and northern pike life-history traits (early growth and mortality rates) explained variation in female-biased SSD using linear models. Female-biased SSD in mean weight of northern pike increased with increasing cisco abundance, and the diference in female and male mean age increased with increasing latitude. Furthermore, SSD was greater in populations with lower female mortality and early growth rates. These results indicate that slow-growing, long-lived populations of northern pike should exhibit greater female-biased SSD, and that these conditions may be facilitated by the availability of large, energy-dense prey and cooler temperatures at northern latitudes.

**Keywords** Esocidae · Coregonidae · Body size · Predator–prey size ratio · Sexual selection

 $\boxtimes$  P. J. Kennedy kenned41@myumanitoba.ca

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Department of Biological Sciences, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, MB, Canada

<sup>2</sup> IISD-Experimental Lakes Area, Winnipeg, MB, Canada

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Department of Biology, Lakehead University, Thunder Bay, ON, Canada

<sup>4</sup> Chicago, IL 60604, USA

## **Introduction**

Sexual dimorphism occurs throughout the natural world and can be described as a condition where sexes of the same species exhibit diferences in their phenotypic traits in addition to diferences in their sex organs (Darwin [1871](#page-19-0)). Although sexual dimorphism results in a variety of diferences in the phenotypic traits of sexes, a common example is diferences in their growth rates and body sizes (Fairbairn et al. [2007](#page-19-1)). This is known as sexual size dimorphism (SSD), and together with other forms of sexual dimorphism, it is often a result of diferential selection on the sexes (Fairbairn [1997;](#page-19-2) Blanckenhorn [2005](#page-18-0)).

While a variety of hypotheses have been proposed for the explanation of SSD, sexual selection through mate choice/competition and fecundity selection are largely considered the driving forces of this phenomenon (Hedrick and Temeles [1989;](#page-19-3) Fairbairn [1997;](#page-19-2) Blanckenhorn [2005\)](#page-18-0). When expressed in mammalian and avian species, sexual selection in the form of intra-sexual competition and female choice typically results in males exhibiting larger body sizes than females (Clutton-Brock et al. [1977](#page-19-4); Cabana et al. [1982](#page-18-1); Blanckenhorn [2005](#page-18-0)). Alternatively, fecundity selection results in SSD biased toward the sex that invests more energy into reproduction through processes such as gonadal development, nest defence, and competition for mates (Parker [1992](#page-20-0)). In fshes that express SSD, both male- and female-biased SSD have been observed, and SSD is likely related to breed-ing systems and ecological differences between the sexes (Roff [1983;](#page-21-0) Shine [1989](#page-21-1); Parker [1992;](#page-20-0) Pyron [1996](#page-20-1)). Male-biased SSD in some fshes appears to be related to male parental care and territoriality over resources or mates (Roff [1983;](#page-21-0) Horne et al. [2020](#page-19-5)). Meanwhile, female-biased SSD in fshes is related to strong fecundity selection and may be more likely to occur among species that are more pelagic or bathypelagic and provide no parental care (Horne et al. [2020\)](#page-19-5). Further, males and females often exhibit sex-specifc habitat segregation in populations with SSD, which can also lead to sex-specifc diets and diferences in other life-history traits (Ruckstuhl [2007](#page-21-2); Žák et al. [2020](#page-21-3); Prchalová et al. [2022](#page-20-2)). Overall, female-biased SSD seems to occur more frequently in fshes (Breder and Rosen [1966](#page-18-2)), though the magnitude of SSD observed and the proximate mechanisms driving femalebiased SSD have been difficult to discern.

One such fsh is the northern pike (*Esox lucius*), a circumpolar apex predator that displays female-biased SSD (Scott and Crossman [1973;](#page-21-4) Craig [1996\)](#page-19-6), though the ecological and evolutionary factors related to variation in SSD observed in this species have yet to be investigated. The growth of male and female northern pike has been found to be similar pre-maturation, but females often mature at larger sizes than males, resulting in greater adult female body sizes (Malette and Morgan [2005\)](#page-20-3). Thus, the magnitude of SSD in this species should be sensitive to adult mortality rate, particularly female mortality rate, because adult mortality is negatively related to body size (Parker [1992;](#page-20-0) Charnov et al. [2013\)](#page-19-7). Because high adult mortality is correlated with rapid early growth, early maturation, and smaller maximum sizes, populations experiencing higher female mortality rates relative to males should have a lower degree of female-biased SSD (Beverton and Holt [1959;](#page-18-3) Parker [1992\)](#page-20-0).

Several aspects of the ecology of northern pike suggest that the degree of SSD displayed in this species may also be related to the availability of large-bodied prey. Female northern pike have been observed to forage more frequently, display greater post-maturation growth, and invest more energy in gonadal development than males (Diana [1983](#page-19-8); Craig [1996\)](#page-19-6). Previous work has also indicated that larger northern pike occupy deeper waters and have greater versatility in their habitat selection than smaller northern pike (Chapman and Mackay [1984;](#page-18-4) Pierce and Tomcko [2005](#page-20-4)). Considered together, these observations suggest that northern pike may exhibit sex-specifc habitat segregation, with larger females occupying deeper waters which may be associated with diferences in diet, energetics, and thermal preferences (Pierce et al. [2013](#page-20-5)). For instance, larger northern pike are known to occupy deeper ofshore habitats in lakes with higher densities of cisco (*Coregonus artedi* [Kennedy et al. [2018](#page-19-9)]). If larger (putatively female) northern pike are better able to exploit large, energy-dense ofshore prey (i.e. cisco) in lakes where they are available, they may experience greater growth efficiency compared to smaller (male) northern pike (Pazzia et al. [2002](#page-20-6)). Selection for such behaviour (e.g. utilization of habitats with large-bodied prey) would beneft female ftness explicitly, since body size scales positively with clutch size, and larger numbers of eggs is correlated with greater offspring survival (Roff [1992;](#page-21-5) Stearns [1992](#page-21-6)).

Our objectives for this study were to frst document the degree of variation in SSD among northern pike populations across a large portion of their North American range using data from a standardized netting program. Second, we sought to evaluate associations between the magnitude of northern pike SSD observed among sampled populations with environmental variables (specifcally, cisco density, lake surface area, and latitude) and life-history traits among populations (mortality and early growth rate indices). We hypothesized that northern pike would exhibit greater female-biased SSD in large, high-latitude lakes with greater cisco densities, as these conditions are predicted to promote longer lifespans and post-maturation growth in this and other apex predatory species (Kaufman et al. [2009;](#page-19-10) Kennedy et al. [2018;](#page-19-9) Lester et al. [2021\)](#page-20-7). Additionally, we hypothesized that female-biased SSD would be greater in northern pike populations exhibiting lower female mortality and early growth rates. We expected SSD estimates to be more strongly infuenced by female life-history traits as females are the sex that typically exhibits greater body sizes, and for whom body size is directly related to ftness via fecundity/egg production (Parker [1992\)](#page-20-0).

## **Methods**

#### **Data collection and preparation**

Data from a standardized gillnetting program, the Fall Walleye Index Netting (FWIN) survey, was obtained from the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry for our analyses of northern pike SSD and prey fsh densities. The FWIN dataset included data on inland Ontario lakes that were sampled from 1993 to 2003 using a stratifed random sampling design that consisted of overnight sets of multi-mesh gillnets (Morgan [2002](#page-20-8)). Sampling occurred in the fall when surface water temperatures were 15 °C or cooler and continued until temperatures fell below 10  $^{\circ}$ C. Gillnets were 61 m long, had eight sequential mesh panels sewn together (stretched measurement: 25, 38, 51, 64, 76, 102, 127, and 152 mm) that were each 7.6 m long and were set perpendicular to the shore for 24 h at two diferent depth strata: 2–5 m and 5–10 m. The location and number of nets set were based of the surface area and depth of the lakes with a minimum of eight nets set for each lake. Fish captured were assigned a unique identifcation number, and the net number, mesh size, location, and date were recorded. Species, fork length, total length, round weight, sex, and age were recorded for all northern pike caught. Cleithra and scales were used to estimate

the age of northern pike. Additional details on the FWIN protocol can be found in Morgan ([2002\)](#page-20-8).

#### **Estimation of SSD response variables**

We calculated the mean fork length-at-age of northern pike for lakes with at least three individuals in an age class for each sex to compare the average size-at-age of females and males across Ontario. Additionally, we calculated the maximum size (mean length of the largest 10% of the catch  $[L_{10}, mm]$ ), mean weight (g), and mean age (years) of female and male northern pike from waterbodies with at least 20 captured individuals of each sex to use for response variables. Data were pooled for waterbodies with multiple sampling years  $(n=28)$  to increase sample sizes for calculating response variables (i.e., to meet our minimum requirement of 20 individuals from each sex) and to address repeated measures in analyses. As such, 'lake' (or more accurately, SSD in various measures of northern pike populations from each lake) were the experimental unit in our analyses. This yielded 102 populations with estimations of *L*10, mean weight, and mean age (Fig. [1,](#page-3-0) Table [1](#page-14-0)). Despite correlations among these life history measures (Table [2\)](#page-14-1), we included analyses of all these response variables for robustness given possible diferences in body shape, growth rates,



<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Fig. 1** Map of northern pike lakes (*n*=102) by cisco CPUE. Larger points have greater cisco CPUE

and body condition among populations that might result in subtle but important diferences among relationships between hypothesized predictors with response variables investigated.

Dimorphism for  $L_{10}$ , mean weight, and mean age was calculated using the size dimorphism index (SDI), which is defned as the ratio of the larger (female) to smaller (male) sex minus 1 (Lovich and Gibbons [1992\)](#page-20-9), which conveniently sets the neutral value (i.e., no dimorphism) to zero. This method presents an intuitive way of quantifying sexual dimorphism, as a value of 0.25 would indicate that females are 25% larger (or heavier, older) than males for the variable of interest. The SDI for mean age of northern pike was included to determine if longevity difers between the sexes and whether this is driven by environmental or life-history variables.

#### **Estimation of environmental predictor variables**

Environmental predictor variables included in SSD analyses were cisco catch-per-unitefort (CPUE; # of fsh per net), lake surface area, and latitude. Cisco CPUE was included as a measure of high-quality prey density for adult northern pike due to their relatively large size and energy density (Bryan et al. [1996](#page-18-5)), and northern pike asymptotic size has been shown to be positively related to cisco CPUE (Kennedy et al. [2018\)](#page-19-9). Lake surface area was used as a measure of overall habitat size (Pierce and Tomcko [2005\)](#page-20-4). Latitude was used to represent a gradient in both climate and land-use, as southern Ontario generally experiences a warmer climate and greater anthropogenic development than northern Ontario. Cisco CPUE was calculated as a lake-wide area-weighted CPUE, where CPUE and benthic area were calculated in each sampled depth stratum; CPUE was then estimated as an area-weighted lake-wide mean across all sampled strata. For lakes sampled in multiple years, CPUE values were averaged. Lake surface area data were compiled from the OMNRF Aquatic Habitat Inventory (Dodge et al. [1985](#page-19-11)).

#### **Estimation of life‑history predictor variables**

Life-history traits included as predictor variables in SSD analyses were female northern pike instantaneous total mortality rate (*Z*) and the Gallucci–Quinn Index of early growth rate (ω). Data were pooled for waterbodies with multiple sampling years. Female *Z* was estimated using the Chapman–Robson method (Robson and Chapman [1961](#page-21-7); see also Ricker [1975\)](#page-20-10), which has been shown to perform better than other commonly employed catch-curve methods for estimating total mortality (Smith et al. [2012\)](#page-21-8). First, annual adult survival (*S*) was calculated as:

$$
S = T/(\Sigma N + T - 1),\tag{1}
$$

where  $\Sigma N$  ( $\Sigma N = N_x + N_{x+1} + N_{x+2} + ...$ ) is the total number of fish on the descending limb of the catch curve whose age is equal to the modal age class plus one year  $(N<sub>x</sub>)$ . *T* is the sum of the products between recoded age classes and the number of fsh caught in the associated recoded age classes, where ages are recoded such that  $N<sub>x</sub>$  is 0 and the subsequent age class  $(N<sub>x+1</sub>)$  is 1, and so on. Instantaneous total mortality rates for populations were then calculated as:

$$
Z = -\ln(S). \tag{2}
$$

A visual representation of the descending limb of a catch curve (Fig. [6](#page-12-0)) and an example calculation of *S* and *T* estimates (Table [3](#page-14-2)) are provided in the Appendix. Female northern pike ω was estimated to represent growth rates near time zero at the size of maximum growth, and was calculated as the product of  $L_{\infty}$  (mm) and *K* (per year) (Brody [1945;](#page-18-6) Gallucci and Quinn [1979;](#page-19-12) Charnov [2010\)](#page-18-7), which were derived from the von Bertalanffy growth model ft to fork length-at-age data (Ricker [1975](#page-20-10)):

$$
L(t) = L_{\infty} \left( 1 - e^{-K(t - t_0)} \right),\tag{3}
$$

where  $L(t)$  is the fork length (mm) at age  $t$  (years),  $L_{\infty}$  is the asymptotic fork length, *K* is Brody's growth coefficient, and  $t_0$  is the theoretical age when the fish would have been zero length (assumed to be 0 in our case). In this dataset, female northern pike  $\omega$  was positively correlated with an independent estimate of early growth rate, female mean size-at-age 3  $(r=0.44, R^2=0.18;$  Fig. [7](#page-13-0)). Thus, we determined  $\omega$  calculated in this manner was a sufficient population-level index of early growth rates.

#### **Statistical analyses**

The magnitudes of SSD among populations based on paired within-lake comparisons of female and male northern pike  $L_{10}$ , mean weight, and mean age were evaluated using paired *t*-tests and linear regression to determine deviation from the 1:1 line of no diference. SSD response variables (i.e., SDIs for  $L_{10}$ , mean weight, and mean age) were then tested for relationships with environmental variables (cisco CPUE, lake surface area, latitude) and life-history traits (ω and *Z*) separately using linear models (LMs) in R v.3.6.2 (R Core Team [2019](#page-20-11)). Cisco CPUE and lake surface area were  $log_{10}$  transformed to reduce the infuence of potential outliers and to normalize distributions. Predictor variables were standardized (Z-scored) by subtracting the mean of all data points from each individual data point and dividing those points by the standard deviation of all data points. With predictor variables standardized to comparable ranges, larger parameter estimates represent greater impacts on response variables for similar changes among predictor variables (Quinn and Keough [2002\)](#page-20-12). Predictor variables were evaluated for multicollinearity using Pearson's correlation coefficients (Table [4](#page-15-0)) and variance inflation factors (VIFs). Northern pike CPUE was initially included as a predictor variable in models including environmental variables to investigate density dependence in SSD (Pierce et al. [2003\)](#page-20-13). However, northern pike CPUE is strongly negatively related to lake surface area in our dataset (LM,  $df = 100$ ,  $F = 40.15$ ,  $P = 6.81e-9$ ,  $R^2 = 0.28$ ) and was not related to northern pike SSD response variables (LM,  $df = 100$ ,  $F = 0.02$  to 0.73,  $P > 0.05$ ,  $R^2 = 0.000$  to 0.007). After dropping northern pike CPUE as a predictor variable, VIF values for all covariates were  $\lt 3$  and no predictor variables were removed on this basis (Zuur et al. [2010\)](#page-21-9). Models of SSD with both environmental and life-history variables were considered independent evaluations of hypotheses, and the evaluation of each response variable (SDIs for  $L_{10}$ , mean weight, and mean age) was adjusted separately for multiple testing with the false discovery rate (FDR) controlling procedure of Benjamini and Hochberg ([1995](#page-18-8)) at a 5% FDR level. Assumptions of normality of data were investigated by Shapiro–Wilk tests for normality and graphically inspecting ftted values against residuals (Shapiro and Wilk [1965;](#page-21-10) Mishra et al.. [2019](#page-20-14)).



<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Fig. 2** Mean fork length (mm) at age (years) for female and male northern pike in the FWIN dataset $\pm$ one standard deviation. Means were calculated using means from individual populations (i.e., lakes) with at least three individuals in an age class

## **Results**

#### **Degree of female‑biased SSD**

Northern pike mean length-at-age across Ontario confrmed that the body sizes of female and male northern pike are similar early in life, while diferences in length-at-age were greatest in older age classes (Fig. [2,](#page-6-0) Table [5](#page-15-1)). Female-male diferences in maximum size (*L*10: 173.02 mm, paired *t*-test, *t*101=20.82, *P*<0.0001; Fig. [3A](#page-7-0)), mean weight (691.08 g, paired *t*-test,  $t_{101} = 13.64$  $t_{101} = 13.64$  $t_{101} = 13.64$ ,  $P < 0.0001$ ; Fig. 3B), and mean age (0.70 years, paired *t*-test,  $t_{101}$  = 9.11, *P* < 0.0001; Fig. [3](#page-7-0)C) were consistently and significantly different, being greater for females than males in each case.

## **Efects of environmental variables on SSD**

The degree of female-biased SSD was partially related to environmental variables and depended on the response variable tested. The SDI for  $L_{10}$  (LM,  $df=98$ ,  $F=0.71$ ,  $P=0.55$ ,  $R^2$ =0.02) was not related to cisco abundance, lake surface area, or latitude (*P*>0.05 for each parameter; Table [6\)](#page-16-0). However, the SDI for mean weight (LM,  $df=98$ ,  $F=4.69$ ,  $P=0.004$  $P=0.004$ ,  $R^2=0.13$ ) was positively related to cisco abundance ( $P=0.02$ ; Fig. 4A). Additionally, the SDI for mean age (LM,  $df = 98$ ,  $F = 5.60$ ,  $P = 0.001$ ,  $R^2 = 0.15$ ) was positively related to latitude  $(P=0.001;$  Fig. [4](#page-8-0)B). The SDIs for mean weight and mean age were not signifcantly related to any other environmental variables.

<span id="page-7-0"></span>



#### **Life history associations with SSD**

The degree of female-biased SSD in northern pike was strongly related to life-history traits. The SDI for  $L_{10}$  (LM,  $df=98$ ,  $F=12.49$ ,  $P<0.0001$ ,  $R^2=0.20$ ) was negatively related to female northern pike early growth rates  $(P=0.0002; Fig. 5A)$  $(P=0.0002; Fig. 5A)$  $(P=0.0002; Fig. 5A)$  but was not related to female northern pike mortality rates  $(P > 0.05$ ; Table [7](#page-17-0)). In contrast, the SDI for mean weight (LM,  $df=98$ ,  $F=34.76$ ,  $P<0.0001$ ,  $R<sup>2</sup>=0.42$ ) was negatively related to both female northern pike mortality and early growth rates  $(P=0.0002 \text{ and } <0.0001$ , respectively; Fig. [5B](#page-9-0), [C](#page-9-0)). Finally, the SDI for mean age (LM,  $df=98$ ,  $F=31.91$ ,  $P<0.0001$ ,  $R<sup>2</sup>=0.39$ ) was

<span id="page-8-0"></span>

negatively related to both female northern pike mortality and early growth rates  $(P=0.002)$ and  $< 0.0001$ , respectively; Fig. [5D](#page-9-0), E).

## **Discussion**

The degree of female-biased SSD in northern pike increased with age, and variation in SSD among populations was related to both environmental and life-history traits. Our hypotheses that female-biased SSD in northern pike (more pronounced diferences between females and males) would be greater in higher-latitude lakes with greater cisco densities were supported in part by a positive relationship between cisco CPUE and female-biased SSD in mean weight. Additionally, diferences between female and male mean age were positively related to latitude, supporting the assertion that lakes at higher latitudes may exhibit longerliving female northern pike relative to males. Our hypotheses that northern pike populations with lower female mortality and early growth rates would exhibit greater female-biased SSD were also supported; female-biased SSD in mean weight was negatively related to both female northern pike mortality and early growth rates, and female-biased SSD in maximum size was negatively related to female northern pike early growth rates. Further, diferences in female and male mean age were negatively related to both female northern pike mortality and early growth rates. While we accept that the statistical approach adopted in this study



<span id="page-9-0"></span>**Fig. 5** Relationship between **a**  $L_{10}$  SDI and female northern pike  $\omega$  ( $P = 0.0002$ ), **b** mean weight SDI and female northern pike *Z* ( $P = 0.0002$ ), **c** mean weight SDI and female northern pike ω ( $P < 0.0001$ ), **d** mean age SDI and female northern pike *Z* (*P*<0.0001), and **e** mean age SDI and female northern pike ω (*P*=0.002). Female northern pike ω and *Z* are standardized (Z-scored). Shading represents 95% confdence intervals as in Fig. [4](#page-8-0)

may fail to capture potential spatial autocorrelation among closely located lakes, failing to address this spatial autocorrelation would arguably make our approach conservative and less likely to reveal patterns overall. Despite our conservative approach, we found signifcant and clear patterns across our 102 lakes on the Ontario landscape.

Greater female-biased SSD in mean weight appears to result from an increase in high energy prey availability (i.e., cisco). An increase in surplus energy, particularly at larger sizes, provides additional energy toward both somatic growth and reproduction (e.g., gonadal development), which are refected more strongly in greater mean

weights (which respond more rapidly to changing environmental conditions) than in mean lengths (which are more fxed than weight given the permanence of skeletal vs. soft tissues). Further, larger females with larger gape will be better positioned to take advantage of large-bodied and energy-rich prey, leading to more efficient post-maturation growth relative to smaller males (Pazzia et al. [2002;](#page-20-6) Giacomini et al. [2013\)](#page-19-13). Thus, initially small diferences in body size between the sexes may quickly become exacerbated with the availability of large-bodied prey. Moreover, large, energy-dense prey availability may promote more efficient physiological maintenance, resulting in longer living populations (Kirkwood and Rosen [1991](#page-19-14)).

Previous studies have shown northern pike mortality to be greater in lower-latitude Ontario lakes experiencing warmer thermal environments and greater human population density (Grifths et al. [2004](#page-19-15); Kennedy et al. [2018](#page-19-9)), which may also help explain greater SSD in mean weight and age at higher latitudes. As water temperature is an important and positive correlate of both growth and natural mortality in fsh (Pauly [1980](#page-20-15); Heino et al. [2015;](#page-19-16) Honsey et al. [2019](#page-19-17)), warmer lakes at lower latitudes may support northern pike populations with faster life histories (Grifths et al. [2004\)](#page-19-15). This more rapid life history might leave less scope for diferences between female and male mean body size and age (i.e., reduced SSD). Such plasticity in SSD has similarly been shown in other poikilothermic species along temperature and latitudinal gradients (Morbey [2018](#page-20-16); Tarr et al. [2019](#page-21-11)). Additionally, lower-latitude lakes closer to urban population centers of southern Ontario likely experience greater fshing pressure and fshing-induced mortalities (Post and Parkinson [2012](#page-20-17)). In response to higher mortality, populations at lower latitudes may also reach maturity earlier to maximize lifetime reproductive ftness (Heino et al. [2015\)](#page-19-16), reducing the opportunity for SSD by allocating energy to reproduction instead of somatic growth earlier and at smaller sizes than northern populations.

The degree of female-biased SSD was negatively related to both female mortality and early growth indices. Contrary to our observed relationship between mortality and SSD, recent research on female-biased SSD in lake whitefsh *(Coregonus clupeaformis*) revealed that SSD was *positively* related to the mortality rate of populations [higher mortality associated with greater SSD (Morbey [2018\)](#page-20-16)]. According to Parker [\(1992](#page-20-0)), female-biased SSD should be sensitive to mortality rate, such that low-mortality environments should promote the evolution of later maturation and slower early growth rates of both sexes. This can result in "von Bertalanfy bufering" in some species, where sexes mature later at similar sizes closer to asymptotic size, thus reducing the potential for SSD to manifest (Morbey [2018](#page-20-16)). While we were unable to estimate size at maturity in our dataset, mortality is known to relate inversely with size at maturity (Reznick et al. [1990](#page-20-18)). Thus, we may predict based on our fndings that female northern pike mature later and at larger sizes than males under low mortality conditions, resulting in greater female-biased SSD. This suggests that femalebiased SSD in northern pike is not limited by von Bertalanfy bufering but is rather due to other processes. This pattern may be further facilitated by male northern pike mortality being typically elevated relative to females from the same population (Fig. [8\)](#page-13-1). Additionally, rapid early growth is often associated with smaller adult sizes, younger ages at maturation, and shorter lifespans for many species (Lemaître et al. [2015\)](#page-19-18). Thus, more rapid female early growth relative to males may limit the capacity for female-biased SSD in northern pike.

Across all populations, female-biased SSD in northern pike increased with age, as observed in several other species displaying SSD (e.g., Rennie et al. [2008](#page-20-19)). This strongly suggests energetic (and potentially behavioral) diferences between males and females post-maturation. Theoretically, female northern pike should experience greater selective pressure to reach larger body sizes than males because their reproductive ftness is more strongly related to body size

(Parker [1992\)](#page-20-0). Reaching larger body sizes increases fecundity in females, and larger numbers of eggs is correlated with better ofspring survival (Craig and Kipling [1983\)](#page-19-19). As such, females may exhibit sex-specifc behavior (e.g., foraging strategies) that promote larger body sizes, whereas males are more likely to display reduced energy acquisition due to the looser association between size and reproductive output/success in fshes with non-size selective mating systems (e.g., Rennie et al.. [2008;](#page-20-19) Rennie and Venturelli [2015](#page-20-20); Mollet et al. [2023](#page-20-21)). Consistent with these hypotheses, female northern pike have been found to forage more frequently than males (Diana [1983\)](#page-19-8), and larger northern pike were found to be more mobile and inhabit deeper habitats of lakes relative to smaller northern pike (Chapman and Mackay [1984;](#page-18-4) Pierce and Tomcko [2005\)](#page-20-4). Thus, females may forage on large, energy-dense prey (i.e., cisco) more-so than males, leading to larger adult female body sizes and lower female mortality rates (Kennedy et al. [2018\)](#page-19-9). Similar feeding behavior has similarly been hypothesized to explain SSD in walleye (*Sander vitreus* [Kaufman et al. [2009;](#page-19-10) Lepak et al. [2012\]](#page-19-20)).

While foraging behavior promoting larger body size is likely apparent in both sexes, selective pressures on reproductive success should result in females seeking the benefts of foraging more frequently and efficiently on offshore prey (i.e., cisco) than males. There are risks associated with activity in ofshore habitats with less cover from predators, including other cannibalistic northern pike (Giles et al. [1986](#page-19-21)). If taking such risks in exposure during foraging does not substantially improve male reproductive success, then selective pressures on males to forage more frequently in ofshore habitats should be low. Males might also take such risks if northern pike were size-selective breeders (e.g., larger females breed with larger males), had high levels of sperm competition, or if males displayed protective behavior over developing eggs (Roff [1983;](#page-21-0) Parker [1992](#page-20-0)). However, we have found no evidence in the literature of size-selective breeding or protective behavior in northern pike, and northern pike have limited sperm competition due to distinct pairing between females and males; female northern pike are often found to be accompanied by only one to three males during spawning (Clark [1950](#page-19-22)). Thus, escaping vulnerability to predation (via rapid early growth) and reaching maturity should be the primary selection forces on male northern pike growth and behavior. This presumed lower level of activity of males has been shown in other fsh species exhibiting female-biased SSD (Rennie et al. [2008;](#page-20-19) Mollet et al. [2023](#page-20-21)). For example, Rennie et al. ([2008](#page-20-19)) used bioenergetic modeling to estimate that male walleye and yellow perch (*Perca flavescens*) have lower consumption rates, metabolic costs, and conversion efficiencies than females. Additionally, males may experience mating opportunity advantages of earlier maturation, which could result in them maturing at smaller sizes relative to females (Parker [1992\)](#page-20-0).

As a communal spawning species, we expected female northern pike body size to vary more than male body size (Pyron et al. [2013](#page-20-22)). Indeed, female northern pike had signifcantly larger body sizes than males, and this diference increased with age. This highlights the importance of fecundity selection on northern pike life histories and populations. As northern pike are cannibalistic and commonly inhabit systems with other top predators, they experience high juvenile mortality rates from predation (Kipling and Frost [1970;](#page-19-23) Grimm and Klinge [1996](#page-19-24)). High juvenile mortality is a strong selection force for large fecund female northern pike, and our study makes it increasingly apparent that there are sex-specifc selection pressures on growth, maturity, foraging, and habitat selection in this species. Our results suggest that future studies into northern pike ecology and evolution should explicitly consider the sex-specifc benefts and costs of larger size where possible.

This study on female-biased SSD in northern pike revealed signifcantly less SSD in fastgrowing populations with high mortality rates. Higher latitudes were positively related to the diference in female and male mean age, and cisco density was positively related to femalebiased SSD in mean weight, suggesting that colder temperatures and cisco availability may be

key drivers of longevity and post-maturation growth needed for greater female-biased SSD. This is a particularly important fnding, as cold-water cisco populations are currently declining in their southern range due to climate change and eutrophication (Jacobson et al. [2012\)](#page-19-25). The loss of key cold-water prey like cisco may therefore substantially impact the availability of trophy-sized northern pike and potentially negatively afect recreational fshing opportunities for this species. Furthermore, fsheries managers should continue to incorporate sex diferences in body size when setting fshing regulations for northern pike, such as slot size limits, minimum size limits, or maximum size limits (e.g., Carlson  $2016$ ). The results of this study provide novel insight to potential linkages between northern pike ecology and life-history outcomes and is the frst we know of to explain variability in female-biased SSD among northern pike populations by both environmental and life-history variables across a large geographic range.

## **Appendix**

Descriptive statistics and correlation tables for response and predictor variables, example calculation of *S* and *Z* estimates, model outputs for northern pike SSD analyses with environmental and life-history variables, and the relationships between female and male *Z* and ω estimates (Figs. [6](#page-12-0), [7,](#page-13-0) [8](#page-13-1) and Table [1,](#page-14-0) [2](#page-14-1), [3,](#page-14-2) [4](#page-15-0), [5,](#page-15-1) [6](#page-16-0), [7\)](#page-17-0).



<span id="page-12-0"></span>**Fig. 6** Example of the descending limb of a catch curve used to estimate instantaneous total mortality for female northern pike sampled in Lake Nipissing, Ontario. Solid dots represent the log number of individuals caught in each age class on the descending limb of the catch curve. Open dots represent the log number of individuals caught in each age class on the ascending limb of the catch curve and were not used in the estimate of instantaneous total mortality. The solid line is a regression line



<span id="page-13-0"></span>**Fig. 7** Relationship between female northern pike early growth rate estimates (ω) and size-at-age 3 (mm) (LM,  $y = 0.57x + 38.11$ , *df* = 94, *t*=4.73, *P* < 0.0001,  $R^2 = 0.18$ ). Shading represents 95% confidence intervals around the regression line



<span id="page-13-1"></span>**Fig. 8** Relationship between male and female northern pike instantaneous total mortality estimates (*Z*; LM, *y*=0.81*x*+0.17, *df*=100, *t*=8.12, *P* < 0.0001, *R*<sup>2</sup>=0.39). The dashed line indicates a 1:1 relationship

|                           | N   | Mean      | Min     | Max        | Std. deviation | Std. error |
|---------------------------|-----|-----------|---------|------------|----------------|------------|
| $L_{10}$ SDI              | 102 | 0.27      | $-0.04$ | 0.55       | 0.13           | 0.01       |
| Mean weight SDI           | 102 | 0.67      | $-0.18$ | 2.04       | 0.46           | 0.05       |
| Mean age SDI              | 102 | 0.16      | $-0.30$ | 0.67       | 0.16           | 0.02       |
| <b>Cisco CPUE</b>         | 102 | 4.87      | 0.00    | 42.60      | 7.08           | 0.70       |
| Lake surface area (ha)    | 102 | 12,673.37 | 53.40   | 484,845.50 | 51,803.30      | 5,129.29   |
| Latitude                  | 102 | 48.34     | 44.65   | 52.85      | 1.71           | 0.17       |
| Female Z (per year)       | 101 | 0.38      | 0.17    | 0.89       | 0.14           | 0.01       |
| Female $\omega$ (mm/year) | 101 | 216.28    | 123.86  | 335.61     | 46.94          | 4.67       |

<span id="page-14-0"></span>**Table 1** Descriptive statistics for northern pike SSD response variables and environmental and life-history predictor variables included in linear models

Negative values for SDI indicate male-biased SSD, whereas positive values indicate female-biased SSD

<span id="page-14-1"></span>

| <b>Table 2</b> Pearson correlation<br>coefficients among northern pike<br>SSD response variables |                 | $L_{10}$ SDI | Mean weight<br><b>SDI</b> | Mean age SDI |
|--|-----------------|--------------|---------------------------|--------------|
|  | $L_{10}$ SDI    | 1.00         | 0.62                      | 0.50         |
|  | Mean weight SDI | 0.62         | 1.00                      | 0.83         |
|  | Mean age SDI    | 0.50         | 0.83                      | 1.00         |

<span id="page-14-2"></span>**Table 3** Example calculation of *S* and *T* estimates to support Chapman–Robinson instantaneous mortality estimates for female northern pike in Lake Nipissing, Ontario



Ʃ*N* only includes counts for the modal age class (age 5) and older age classes

|  |         |         | $\text{Log}_{10}$ female Z $\text{Log}_{10}$ female $\omega$ $\text{Log}_{10}$ Cisco CPUE $\text{Log}_{10}$ surface area Latitude |         |         |
|--|---------|---------|---|---------|---------|
| $Log_{10}$ female Z                    | 1.00    | 0.56    | $-0.48$   | $-0.28$ | $-0.55$ |
| $Log_{10}$ female $\omega$             | 0.56    | 1.00    | $-0.38$   | $-0.24$ | $-0.47$ |
| Log <sub>10</sub> Cisco CPUE $-0.48$   |         | $-0.38$ | 1.00  | 0.37    | 0.32    |
| Log <sub>10</sub> surface area $-0.28$ |         | $-0.24$ | 0.37  | 1.00    | 0.33    |
| Latitude                               | $-0.55$ | $-0.47$ | 0.32  | 0.33    | 1.00    |

<span id="page-15-0"></span>Table 4 Pearson correlation coefficients among predictor variables included in the analysis

<span id="page-15-1"></span>**Table 5** Summary statistics for the mean fork length (mm) at age for populations with at least three individuals in each age class

| Sex    | Age            | N   | Fork length (mm) | Std. error | Std. deviation | CI    |
|--------|----------------|-----|------------------|------------|----------------|-------|
| Female | $\overline{2}$ | 94  | 389.25           | 4.36       | 42.28          | 8.66  |
|        | 3              | 217 | 470.46           | 3.32       | 48.93          | 6.55  |
|        | $\overline{4}$ | 224 | 528.22           | 3.60       | 53.87          | 7.09  |
|        | 5              | 198 | 582.93           | 4.63       | 65.16          | 9.13  |
|        | 6              | 130 | 620.57           | 5.71       | 65.10          | 11.30 |
|        | 7              | 105 | 664.60           | 6.45       | 66.09          | 12.79 |
|        | 8              | 71  | 712.51           | 10.01      | 84.37          | 19.97 |
|        | 9              | 34  | 758.06           | 12.82      | 74.77          | 26.09 |
| Male   | $\overline{2}$ | 94  | 368.85           | 4.69       | 45.50          | 9.32  |
|        | 3              | 200 | 444.74           | 3.09       | 43.70          | 6.09  |
|        | $\overline{4}$ | 222 | 494.87           | 3.17       | 47.23          | 6.25  |
|        | 5              | 171 | 531.35           | 3.48       | 45.51          | 6.87  |
|        | 6              | 118 | 569.14           | 4.80       | 52.18          | 9.51  |
|        | 7              | 60  | 602.89           | 6.61       | 51.24          | 13.24 |
|        | 8              | 25  | 621.32           | 6.27       | 31.35          | 12.94 |
|        | 9              | 7   | 635.39           | 18.54      | 49.05          | 45.36 |

*N* represents the number of lakes the estimate is derived from



<span id="page-16-0"></span>ardized (Z-scored)

<span id="page-17-0"></span>



**Acknowledgements** We thank George Morgan and Dr. Cindy Chu from the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry for providing data, as well as John Gunn for discussions that helped initiate the project. We also thank Dr. Brian Shuter, anonymous reviewers, and Dr. Derek Roff for their constructive and helpful reviews on earlier versions of the manuscript. This work was supported by grants from the Rainy Lakes Fisheries Charity Trust, Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada Discovery Grant, and the Canada Research Chairs Program to MDR, and from the IISD- Experimental Lakes Area to MDR and PJK. There are no conficts of interest with this manuscript.

**Authors' contributions** PJ Kennedy and MD Rennie conceived the idea and designed the study. Material preparation, data organization, and analyses were performed by PJ Kennedy. The frst draft of the article was written by PJ Kennedy, and all authors commented on previous versions of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the fnal manuscript.

**Funding** This work was supported by grants from the Rainy Lakes Fisheries Charity Trust, Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada Discovery Grant, and the Canada Research Chairs Program to MD Rennie, and from the IISD- Experimental Lakes Area to MD Rennie and PJ Kennedy.

**Data accessibility** Data are available on request from the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry.

**Code availability** Custom code developed using the programing language R for this manuscript is available.

# **Declarations**

**Confict of interest** The authors declare there are no conficts of interest with this manuscript and that they have no fnancial interests.

**Ethical approval** This study used data collected by the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry following appropriate ethical standards.

**Consent to participate** The authors consent to participate in the publication process with Springer – Evolutionary Ecology.

**Consent for publication** The authors consent to publish this article with Springer – Evolutionary Ecology.

# **References**

- <span id="page-18-8"></span>Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y (1995) Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. J R Stat Soc B 57:289–300.<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2517-6161.1995.tb02031.x>
- <span id="page-18-3"></span>Beverton RJH, Holt SJ (1959) A review of the lifespans and mortality rates of fsh in nature, and their relation to growth and other physiological characteristics. In: Wolstenholme GEW, O'Conner M (eds) The lifespan of animals, vol 5. Ciba Foundation Colloquia on ageing. Churchill, London, pp 142–177
- <span id="page-18-0"></span>Blanckenhorn WU (2005) Behavioral causes and consequences of sexual size dimorphism. Ethology 111:977–1016.<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0310.2005.01147.x>
- <span id="page-18-2"></span>Breder CM, Rosen DE (1966) Modes of reproduction in fshes. TFH Publications, Neptune City, NJ

<span id="page-18-6"></span>Brody S (1945) Bioenergetics and growth. Reinhold, New York

- <span id="page-18-5"></span>Bryan SD, Soupir CA, Dufy WG, Freiburger CE (1996) Caloric densities of three predatory fshes and their prey in Lake Oahe, South Dakota. J Freshw Ecol 11:153–161. [https://doi.org/10.1080/02705060.1996.](https://doi.org/10.1080/02705060.1996.9663474) [9663474](https://doi.org/10.1080/02705060.1996.9663474)
- <span id="page-18-1"></span>Cabana G, Frewin A, Peters RH, Randall L (1982) The efect of sexual size dimorphism on variations in reproductive efort of birds and mammals. Am Nat 120:17–25.<https://doi.org/10.1086/283966>
- <span id="page-18-9"></span>Carlson AK (2016) Trophy northern pike: the value of experimentation and public engagement. Rev Fish Sci Aquacult 24(2):153–159
- <span id="page-18-4"></span>Chapman CA, Mackay WC (1984) Versatility in habitat use by a top aquatic predator, *Esox lucius* L. J Fish Biol 25:109–115. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8649.1984.tb04855.x>
- <span id="page-18-7"></span>Charnov EL (2010) Comparing body-size growth curves: the Gallucci–Quinn index, and beyond. Environ Biol Fishes 88:293–294.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10641-010-9642-9>
- <span id="page-19-7"></span>Charnov EL, Gislason H, Pope JG (2013) Evolutionary assembly rules for fsh life histories. Fish Fish 14:213–224.<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-2979.2012.00467.x>
- <span id="page-19-22"></span>Clark CF (1950) Observations on the spawning habits of the Northern Pike (*Esox lucius L.*) in northwestern Ohio. Copeia 4:285–288. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1437909>
- <span id="page-19-4"></span>Clutton-Brock TH, Harvey PH, Rudder B (1977) Sexual dimorphism, socionomic sex ratio and body weight in primates. Nature 269:797–800. <https://doi.org/10.1038/269797a0>
- <span id="page-19-6"></span>Craig JF (1996) Population dynamics, predation and role in the community. In: Craig JF (ed) Pike: biology and exploitation. Chapman & Hall, London, pp 202–217
- <span id="page-19-19"></span>Craig JF, Kipling C (1983) Reproduction efort versus the environment: case histories of Windermere perch, *Perca fuviatilis* L., and pike. Esox Lucius l J Fish Biol 22:713–727. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8649.1983.tb04231.x) [8649.1983.tb04231.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8649.1983.tb04231.x)
- <span id="page-19-0"></span>Darwin C (1871) The descent of man, and selection in relation to sex. John Murray, London
- <span id="page-19-8"></span>Diana JS (1983) An energy budget for Northern Pike (*Esox lucius*). Can J Zool 61:1968–1975. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1139/z83-259) [org/10.1139/z83-259](https://doi.org/10.1139/z83-259)
- <span id="page-19-11"></span>Dodge DP, Goodchild GA, MacRitchie I, Tilt JC, Waldrif DG (1985). Manual of instructions: aquatic habitat inventory surveys. Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources, Fisheries Branch, Toronto
- <span id="page-19-2"></span>Fairbairn DJ (1997) Allometry for sexual size dimorphism: pattern and process in the coevolution of body size in males and females. Annu Rev Ecol Syst 28:659–687. [https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.28.1.659) [28.1.659](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.28.1.659)
- <span id="page-19-1"></span>Fairbairn DJ, Blanckenhorn WU, Székely T (2007) Sex, size and gender roles: evolutionary studies of sexual size dimorphism. Oxford University Press, Oxford
- <span id="page-19-12"></span>Gallucci VF, Quinn TJ (1979) Reparameterizing, ftting, and testing a simple growth model. Trans Am Fish Soc 108:14–25. [https://doi.org/10.1577/1548-8659\(1979\)108%3c14:RFATAS%3e2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1577/1548-8659(1979)108%3c14:RFATAS%3e2.0.CO;2)
- <span id="page-19-13"></span>Giacomini HC, Shuter BJ, Lester NP (2013) Predator bioenergetics and the prey size spectrum: do foraging costs determine fsh production? J Theor Biol 332:249–260. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtbi.2013.05.004>
- <span id="page-19-21"></span>Giles N, Wright RM, Nord ME (1986) Cannibalism in pike fry, *Esox lucius* L.: some experiments with fry densities. J Fish Biol 29:107–113. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8649.1986.tb04930.x>
- <span id="page-19-15"></span>Grifths RW, Newlands NK, Noakes DLG, Beamish FWH (2004) Northern Pike (*Esox lucius*) growth and mortality in a northern Ontario river compared with that of lakes: infuence of fow. Ecol Freshw Fish 13:136–144.<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0633.2004.00049.x>
- <span id="page-19-24"></span>Grimm MP, Klinge M (1996) Pike and some aspects of its dependence on vegetation. In: Craig JF (ed) Pike: biology and exploitation. Chapman & Hall, London, pp 125–156
- <span id="page-19-3"></span>Hedrick AV, Temeles EJ (1989) The evolution of sexual dimorphism in animals: hypotheses and tests. Trends Ecol Evol 4:136–138. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0169-5347\(89\)90212-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0169-5347(89)90212-7)
- <span id="page-19-16"></span>Heino M, Pauli BD, Dieckmann U (2015) Fisheries-induced evolution. Annu Rev Ecol Evol Syst 46:461–480. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-112414-054339>
- <span id="page-19-17"></span>Honsey AE, Venturelli PA, Lester NP (2019) Bioenergetic and limnological foundations for using degree-days derived from air temperatures to describe fsh growth. Can J Fish Aquat Sci 76:657–669. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1139/cjfas-2018-0051) [10.1139/cjfas-2018-0051](https://doi.org/10.1139/cjfas-2018-0051)
- <span id="page-19-5"></span>Horne CR, Hirst AG, Atkinson D (2020) Selection for increased male size predicts variation in sexual size dimorphism among fsh species. Proc R Soc B: Biol Sci, 287.<https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2019.2640>
- <span id="page-19-25"></span>Jacobson PC, Cross TK, Zandlo J, Carlson BN, Pereira DP (2012) The efects of climate change and eutrophication on cisco *Coregonus artedi* abundance in Minnesota lakes. Adv Limnol 63:417–427. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1127/advlim/63/2012/417) [10.1127/advlim/63/2012/417](https://doi.org/10.1127/advlim/63/2012/417)
- <span id="page-19-10"></span>Kaufman SD, Morgan GE, Gunn JM (2009) The role of ciscoes as prey in the trophy growth potential of walleyes. N Am J Fish Manage 29:468–477. <https://doi.org/10.1577/M07-117.1>
- <span id="page-19-9"></span>Kennedy PJ, Bartley TJ, Gillis DM, McCann KS, Rennie MD (2018) Ofshore prey densities facilitate similar life history and behavioral patterns in two distinct aquatic apex predators, Northern Pike and Lake Trout. Trans Am Fish Soc 147:972–995.<https://doi.org/10.1002/tafs.10090>
- <span id="page-19-23"></span>Kipling C, Frost WE (1970) A study of mortality, population numbers, year class strengths, production and food consumption of pike, *Esox Lucius* L., in Windermere from 1944 to 1962. J Anim Ecol 39:115–157. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2892>
- <span id="page-19-14"></span>Kirkwood TBL, Rose MR (1991) Evolution of senescence: late survival sacrifced for reproduction. Phil Trans R Soc B: Biol Sci 332:15–24. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.1991.0028>
- <span id="page-19-18"></span>Lemaître JF, Berger V, Bonenfant C, Douhard M, Gamelon M, Plard F et al (2015) Early-late life trade-ofs and the evolution of ageing in the wild. Proc R Soc B: Biol Sci 282:1–10. [https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2015.](https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2015.0209) [0209](https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2015.0209)
- <span id="page-19-20"></span>Lepak JM, Hooten MB, Johnson BM (2012) The infuence of external subsidies on diet, growth and Hg concentrations of freshwater sport fsh: implications for management and fsh consumption advisories. Ecotoxicology 21:1878–1888.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10646-012-0921-4>
- <span id="page-20-7"></span>Lester NP, Shuter BJ, Jones ML, Sandstrom S (2021) A general, life-history-based model for sustainable exploitation of Lake Charr across their range. In: Muir A, Krueger CC, Hansen MJ, Riley S (eds) The Lake Charr Salvelinus namaycush: biology, ecology, distribution, and management. Springer, Heidelberg, pp 429–485
- <span id="page-20-9"></span>Lovich JE, Gibbons JW (1992) A review of techniques for quantifying sexual size dimorphism. Growth Dev Aging 56:269–281
- <span id="page-20-3"></span>Malette MD, Morgan GE (2005) Provincial summary of Northern Pike life history characteristics based on Ontario's Fall Walleye Index Netting (FWIN) program 1993 to 2002. Sudbury, Laurentian University, Department of Biology, Cooperative Freshwater Ecology Unit, Ontario. [https://laurentian.ca/assets/fles/](https://laurentian.ca/assets/files/Living-With-Lakes/Reports/Provincial-Northern-Pike-Life-History-Parameters.pdf) [Living-With-Lakes/Reports/Provincial-Northern-Pike-Life-History-Parameters.pdf](https://laurentian.ca/assets/files/Living-With-Lakes/Reports/Provincial-Northern-Pike-Life-History-Parameters.pdf)
- <span id="page-20-14"></span>Mishra P, Pandey CM, Singh U, Gupta A, Sahu C, Keshri A (2019) Descriptive statistics and normality tests for statistical data. Ann Card Anaesth 22(1):67–72. [https://doi.org/10.4103/aca.ACA\\_157\\_18](https://doi.org/10.4103/aca.ACA_157_18)
- <span id="page-20-21"></span>Mollet FM, Enberg K, Boukal DS, Rijnsdorp AD, Dieckmann U (2023) An evolutionary explanation of femalebiased sexual size dimorphism in North Sea plaice, *Pleuronectes platessa* L. Ecol Evol 13(1). [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.8070) [org/10.1002/ece3.8070](https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.8070)
- <span id="page-20-16"></span>Morbey YE (2018) Female-biased dimorphism in size and age at maturity is reduced at higher latitudes in Lake Whitefsh *Coregonus clupeaformis*. J Fish Biol 93:40–46.<https://doi.org/10.1111/jfb.13675>
- <span id="page-20-8"></span>Morgan GE (2002) Manual of instructions—Fall Walleye Index Netting (FWIN): Percid Community Synthesis. Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources, Peterborough
- <span id="page-20-0"></span>Parker GA (1992) The evolution of sexual size dimorphism in fsh. J Fish Biol 41:1–20. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8649.1992.tb03864.x) [1111/j.1095-8649.1992.tb03864.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8649.1992.tb03864.x)
- <span id="page-20-15"></span>Pauly D (1980) On the interrelationships between natural mortality, growth parameters, and mean environmental temperature in 175 fsh stocks. J Conseil Permanent Int Pour L'exploration Mer 39:175–192. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/39.2.175) [doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/39.2.175](https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/39.2.175)
- <span id="page-20-6"></span>Pazzia I, Trudel M, Ridgway M, Rasmussen JB (2002) Infuence of food web structure on the growth and bioenergetics of Lake Trout (*Salvelinus namaycush*). Can J Fish Aquat Sci 59:1593–1605. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1139/f02-128) [1139/f02-128](https://doi.org/10.1139/f02-128)
- <span id="page-20-4"></span>Pierce RB, Tomcko CM (2005) Density and biomass of native Northern Pike populations in relation to basinscale characteristics of north-central Minnesota lakes. Trans Am Fish Soc 134:231–241. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1577/T03-211.1) [1577/T03-211.1](https://doi.org/10.1577/T03-211.1)
- <span id="page-20-13"></span>Pierce RB, Tomcko CM, Margenau TL (2003) Density dependence in growth and size structure of Northern Pike populations. Trans Am Fish Soc 23:331–339. [https://doi.org/10.1577/1548-8675\(2003\)023%3C0331:](https://doi.org/10.1577/1548-8675(2003)023%3C0331:DDIGAS%3E2.0.CO;2) [DDIGAS%3E2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1577/1548-8675(2003)023%3C0331:DDIGAS%3E2.0.CO;2)
- <span id="page-20-5"></span>Pierce RB, Carlson AJ, Carlson BM, Hudson D, Staples DF (2013) Depths and thermal habitat used by large versus small northern pike in three Minnesota lakes. Trans Am Fish Soc 142:1629–1639. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1080/00028487.2013.822422) [10.1080/00028487.2013.822422](https://doi.org/10.1080/00028487.2013.822422)
- <span id="page-20-17"></span>Post JR, Parkinson EA (2012) Temporal and spatial patterns of angler effort across lake districts and policy options to sustain recreational fsheries. Can J Fish Aquat Sci 69:321–329. [https://doi.org/10.1139/](https://doi.org/10.1139/f2011-163) [f2011-163](https://doi.org/10.1139/f2011-163)
- <span id="page-20-2"></span>Prchalová M, Žák J, Říha M, Šmejkal M, Blabolil P, Vašek M, Matěna J, Peterka J, Sed'a J, Kubečka J, (2022) Sexual size dimorphism of two common European percid fsh: linkage with spatial distribution and diet. Hydrobiologia 849:2009–2027.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-022-04844-6>
- <span id="page-20-1"></span>Pyron M (1996) Sexual size dimorphism and phylogeny in North American minnows. Biol J Linn Soc 57:327– 341.<https://doi.org/10.1006/bijl.1996.0020>
- <span id="page-20-22"></span>Pyron M, Pitcher TE, Jacquemin SJ (2013) Evolution of mating systems and sexual size dimorphism in North American cyprinids. Behav Ecol Sociobiol 67:747–756.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00265-013-1498-5>
- <span id="page-20-12"></span>Quinn GP, Keough MJ (2002) Experimental design and data analysis for biologists. Cambridge University Press, New York
- <span id="page-20-11"></span>R Core Team (2019) R: a language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna. <https://www.R-project.org/>
- <span id="page-20-20"></span>Rennie MD, Venturelli PA (2015) The ecology of lifetime growth in percid fshes. In: Kestemont P, Dabrowski K, Summerfelt RC (eds) Biology and culture of percid fshes. Springer, Dordrecht, pp 499–536
- <span id="page-20-19"></span>Rennie MD, Purchase CF, Lester N, Collins NC, Shuter BJ, Abrams PA (2008) Lazy males? Bioenergetic differences in energy acquisition and metabolism help to explain sexual size dimorphism in percids. J Anim Ecol 77:916–926. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2656.2008.01412.x>
- <span id="page-20-18"></span>Reznick DA, Bryga H, Endler JA (1990) Experimentally induced life-history evolution in a natural population. Nature 346:357–359.<https://doi.org/10.1038/346357a0>
- <span id="page-20-10"></span>Ricker WE (1975) Computation and interpretation of biological statistics of fsh populations. Bull Fish Res Bd Can 191:1–382
- <span id="page-21-7"></span>Robson DS, Chapman DG (1961) Catch curves and mortality rates. Trans Am Fish Soc 90:181–189. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1577/1548-8659(1961)90[181:CCAMR]2.0.CO;2) [org/10.1577/1548-8659\(1961\)90\[181:CCAMR\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1577/1548-8659(1961)90[181:CCAMR]2.0.CO;2)
- <span id="page-21-0"></span>Roff DA (1983) An allocation model of growth and reproduction in fish. Can J Fish Aquat Sci 40:1395–1404. <https://doi.org/10.1139/f83-161>

<span id="page-21-5"></span>Roff DA (1992) The evolution of life histories. Chapman & Hall, New York

- <span id="page-21-2"></span>Ruckstuhl KE (2007) Sexual segregation in vertebrates: proximate and ultimate causes. Integr Comp Biol 47:245–257. <https://doi.org/10.1093/icb/icm030>
- <span id="page-21-4"></span>Scott WB, Crossman EJ (1973) Freshwater fshes of Canada. Bulletin 184. Fisheries Research Board of Canada, Ottawa. <https://publications.gc.ca/site/eng/9.870340/publication.html>
- <span id="page-21-10"></span>Shapiro SS, Wilk MB (1965) An analysis of variance test for normality (complete samples). Biometrika 52(3/4):591–611. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2333709>
- <span id="page-21-1"></span>Shine R (1989) Ecological causes for the evolution of sexual dimorphism: a review of the evidence. Q Rev Biol 64:419–461. <https://doi.org/10.1086/416458>
- <span id="page-21-8"></span>Smith M, Then AY, Wor C, Ralph G, Pollock KH, Hoenig JM (2012) Recommendations for catch-curve analysis. N Am J Fish Manage 32:956–967. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02755947.2012.711270>
- <span id="page-21-6"></span>Stearns SC (1992) The evolution of life histories. Oxford University Press, Oxford
- <span id="page-21-11"></span>Tarr S, Meiri S, Hicks JJ, Algar AC (2019) A biogeographic reversal in sexual size dimorphism along a continental temperature gradient. Ecography 42:706–716.<https://doi.org/10.1111/ecog.03593>
- <span id="page-21-3"></span>Žák J, Prchalová M, Šmejkal M, Blabolil P, Vašek M, Matěna J, Říha M, Peterka J, Seďa J, Kubečka J (2020) Sexual segregation in European cyprinids: consequence of response to predation risk infuenced by sexual size dimorphism. Hydrobiologia 847:1439–1451. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-020-04198-x>
- <span id="page-21-9"></span>Zuur AF, Ieno EN, Elphick CS (2010) A protocol for data exploration to avoid common statistical problems. Meth Ecol Evol 1:3–14. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2041-210X.2009.00001.x>

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.